

COUN 621: Psychoeducational Consultation and Program Evaluation

Course Syllabus: Spring 2021 Online

INSTRUCTOR INFORMATION

Instructor: Erika L. Schmit, PhD, LPC Office Location: Zoom Office Hours: By appointment only University Email Address: Erika.schmit@tamuc.edu Preferred Form of Communication: email Communication Response Time: 24-72 hours Monday-Friday

Graduate Co-Instructor (if available): n/a Graduate Co-Instructor University Email Address (if available): n/a

COURSE INFORMATION Materials – Textbooks, Readings, Supplementary Readings

Required Textbook

Fitzpatrick, J. L., Sanders, J. R., & Worthen, B. R. (2011). *Program evaluation: Alternative approaches and practical guidelines* (4th ed.). Pearson/Allyn & Bacon.

**Additional readings as assigned.

Note: This course will use D2L as it Learning Management System

Recommended Textbook

American Psychological Association. (2020). *Publication manual of the American Psychological Association* (7th ed.). Author.

SELECTED BIBLIOGRAPHY includes, but is not limited to, the following:

- Bemack, F., Murphy, S., & Kaffenberger, C. (2005). Community-focused consultation: New directions and practice. IIn C. Sink (Ed.), *Contemporary school counseling* (pp. 327-357). Houghton Mifflin.
- Benshoff, J. M., & Paisley, P. O. (1996). The structured peer consultation model for school counselors. *Journal of Counseling and Development*, 74, 314-318.



- Brack, G., Jones, E. S., Smith, R. M., White, J., & Brack, C. J. (1993). A primer on consultation theory: Building a flexible world view. *Journal of Counseling and Development*, *71*, 619-628.
- Brown, D., Pryzwansky, W. B., & Schulte, A. C. (2006). *Psychological consultation and collaboration: Introduction to theory and practice* (6th ed.). Pearson/Allyn and Bacon.
- Calley, N. G. (2009). Comprehensive program development in mental health counseling: Design, implementation, and evaluation. Journal of Mental Health Counseling, 31(1), 9-21. https://doi.org/10.17744/mehc.31.1.u018125603371233
- Peterson, C. H., Schmid, K., & Kososki, R. (2019). A national survey of counselors' use of five types of program evaluation. *Counseling Outcome Research and Evaluation*, 1-17. https://doi.org/10.1080/21501378.2019.1678017
- Prosek, E. A. (2019). An introduction to mixed methods design in program evaluation. *Counseling Outcome Research and Evaluation*, , 1-11. https://doi.org/10.1080/21501378.2019.1590688
- Stufflebeam, D. L. (1971). The relevance of the CIPP evaluation model for educational accountability. *Journal of Research and Development in Education*, *5*, 19-25.
- Stufflebeam, D. L. (2001). Evaluation checklists: Practical tools for guiding and judging evaluations. *American Journal of Evaluation*, 22, 71-79.
- Tate, K. A., Bloom, M. L., Tassara, M. H., & Caperton, W. (2014). Counselor competence, performance assessment, and program evaluation: Using psychometric instruments. Measurement and Evaluation in Counseling and Development, 47(4), 291-306. https://doi.org/10.1177/0748175614538063

COURSE DESCRIPTION

Catalogue Description of the Course

COUN 621 (3 semester hours) – Psychological, educational, and sociological theories, models, and processes applied to human and organizational systems of change. Special attention is directed to applying theory to practice and to differentiating between human and structural problems and interventions.

General Course Information

Required for the Ph.D. in counseling, students will examine theories and models of both mental health consultation and program evaluation. Students will be expected to demonstrate their expertise via practical applications.

Doctoral Learning Outcomes



2016 CACREP Standards Addressed in COUN 621

Doctoral Standard	Learning Activity	Assignment	Assessment Rubric	Benchmark
6.B.4.f. models and methods of program evaluation.	 Lecture (Weeks 3-4; 6-8; 10) Readings (Fitzpatrick, Sanders, & Worthen, 2011 [Chapters 1-9; 11-17]; Calley, 2009; Peterson, Schmid, Kososki, 2019; Prosek, 2019; Tate et al, 2014) Class Discussion (Weeks 3; 6; 9;12; & 15) 	1. Program Evaluation	1. Program evaluation rubric	1. ≥ 80% of average rubric scores will either meet (2) or exceed (3) expectation

Content Areas include, but are not limited to, the following:

Program Evaluation

- Purposes of evaluation
- Evaluation approaches
- Planning evaluations
- Conducting evaluations
- Reporting on evaluations
- Evaluating evaluations

Consultation

- Consultation as a helping relationship
- Consultant roles
- Consultation stages
- Consultation models
- Working within an organization

COURSE REQUIREMENTS

Minimal Technical Skills Needed

In this class, you will utilize the Learning Management System (LMS) entitled D2L for instructional and learning methods, submitting assignments, participating in online discussions, and completing any quizzes. You will need to utilize other technologies such as Microsoft Word, PowerPoint, etc. If you have issues with this system, it is your responsibility to contact the help desk immediately.

Instructional Methods

This course is considered a web-based course. As a student in this course, you will be required to actively participate in an online environment. You will be expected to participate and complete



all online tasks via D2L. In addition to this, you are to complete an application-based project in this course.

Student Responsibilities or Tips for Success in the Course

As a student in this course, you are responsible for the active learning process. Expectations of this course include the following:

- 1. You are expected to display professionalism at all times. Be respectful of your professor and peers. Be open to feedback, as you will receive this throughout the program.
- 2. Prepare for classes. Complete any and all readings prior to class time.
- 3. Complete all assignments by the deadline.
- 4. Adhere to the university student code of conduct.
- 5. Participate in open discussions. This will help with your growth in research knowledge.
- 6. All writing assignments must be done according to APA 6th edition.
- 7. Regularly check your University email. My suggestion is to check this at least once a day as your instructors and others from the department and University may contact you.
- 8. Begin your readings ASAP. This is a reading heavy course.

Assignments/Assessments

1. **Program Evaluation Project (40 points total).** In small groups, students will conduct either a program evaluation or needs assessment. Students are expected to identify their own site/program where this evaluation/assessment will be conducted. Students will choose their own group members for this project. Variables to consider when choosing group members include program interest (school, community, substance abuse, dual diagnoses, probation/parole, pre/post incarceration, etc.), program purpose (education, intervention, remediation, etc.), personal schedule compatibility, geographic proximity for meetings, labor division preference, etc. ALL final projects and materials (including a video of your presentation to the agency) must be uploaded so that the instructor and other students can view the actual presentation. If your presentation is not video recorded you will not earn credit for the project. You should discuss recording of your presentation with your potential site before starting your work. If your site will not allow you to record your presentation you will need to find another site. Please see the consent form regarding videotaping (in D2L).

	U	J J	
	1 – Does Not Meet	2-Meets	3-Exceeds
	Expectation	Expectation	Expectation
	(0-3 points)	(4 points)	(5 points)
Write Up: Basic	Content demonstrates	Content demonstrates	Content demonstrates
Introduction	a lack of knowledge	knowledge on key	exceptional
Information of	on key points. Does	points. Includes	knowledge on key
Program Evaluation	not include summary	summary of basic	points. Includes

Program Evaluation Project Rubric



including cover page, table of contents, references, appendices, expertise of evaluators, executive summary (5 points)of basic information such as cover page, table of contents, references, appendices, expertise of evaluators, executive summary; (5 points)information such as cover page, table of contents, references, appendices, expertise of evaluators, executive summary; Not representative of doctoral level worksummary of basic information such as cover page, table of contents, references, appendices, expertise of evaluators, executive summary; Not representative of doctoral level worksummary of basic information such as cover page, table of contents, references, appendices, expertise of evaluators, executive summary; Not representative of doctoral level worksummary of basic information such as cover page, table of contents, references, appendices, expertise of evaluators, executive summary; Representative of doctoral level workWrite Up: Purpose and mission statement of the problem (5 points)Content demonstrates mission statement, background of organization, and statement of the problem; Not representative of doctoral level workContent demonstrates doctoral level workSummary of basic contents, references, appendices, expertise of evaluators, executive summary; Representative of doctoral level workSummary of evaluators, executive summary; Representative of and mission statement, statement, background of organization, and statement of the problem; Not representative of doctoral level workSummary of Purpose and mission statement, background of organization, and statement of the pro	se
references, appendices, expertise of evaluators, executive summary (5 points)table of contents, references, appendices, expertise of evaluators, executive summary; Not representative of doctoral level workcontents, references, appendices, expertise of evaluators, executive summary; Representative of doctoral level workWrite Up: Purpose and mission statement, problem (5 points)content demonstrates not include summary of Purpose and mission statement, background of organization, and statement of the problem; Not representative of doctoral level workcontent demonstrates to methy problem; Representative of doctoral level workcontent demonstrates statement of the problem; Representative of doctoral level workcontent demonstrates to methy problem; Representative of doctoral level workWrite Up: Program Evaluation Plan including goals, objectives, scope of needs assessment, evaluation Plan, including goals, objectives, scope ofContent demonstrates to neck assessment, evaluation Plan including goals, objectives, scope ofContent demonstrates to neutomethy program Evaluation Plan including goals, objectives, scope ofCo	se
appendices, expertise of evaluators, executive summary (5 points)references, appendices, expertise of evaluators, executive summary; Not representative of doctoral level workappendices, expertise of evaluators, executive summary; Representative of doctoral level workcontents, reference appendices, expertise of evaluators, executive summary; Representative of doctoral level workWrite Up: Purpose and mission statement, problem (5 points)Content demonstrates a lack of knowledge on key points. Does not include summary of Purpose and mission statement, background of organization, and statement of the problem; Not representative of doctoral level workcontent demonstrates and mission statement, background of organization, and statement of the problem; Representative of doctoral level workcontent demonstrates a lack of knowledge on key points. Does not include Program Evaluation Plan including goals, objectives, scope ofContent demonstrates a lack of knowledge on key points. Does not include Program Evaluation Plan including goals, objectives, scope ofContent demonstrates a lack of knowledge on key points. Does not include Program Evaluation Plan including goals, objectives, scope ofContent demonstrates program Evaluation Plan including goals, objec	, se
of evaluators, executive summary (5 points)appendices, expertise of evaluators, 	se
executive summary (5 points)of evaluators, executive summary; Not representative of 	
(5 points)executive summary; Not representative of doctoral level workRepresentative of doctoral level workexecutive summary Representative of doctoral level workWrite Up: Purpose and mission statement, background of organization, and statement of the problem (5 points)Content demonstrates a lack of knowledge on key points. Does not include summary of Purpose and mission statement, background of organization, and statement of the problemContent demonstrates a lack of knowledge on key points. Does not include summary of Purpose and mission statement, background of organization, and statement of the problem; Not representative of doctoral level workRepresentative of doctoral level workContent demonstrates exceptional knowledge on key points including a summary of Purpose and mission statement, background of organization, and statement of the problem; Not representative of doctoral level workRepresentative of doctoral level workStatement, background of organization, and statement of the problem; Representative of doctoral level workContent demonstrates doctoral level workContent demonstrates problem; Representative of doctoral level workContent demonstrates doctoral level workWrite Up: Program including goals, objectives, scope of needs assessment, evaluation plan,Content demonstrates a lack of knowledge on key points. Does not include Program Evaluation Plan including goals, objectives, scope ofContent demonstrates program Evaluation Plan including goals, objectives, scope ofContent demonstrates program Evaluation Plan including goals, objecti	
(5 points)executive summary; Not representative of doctoral level workRepresentative of doctoral level workexecutive summary Representative of doctoral level workWrite Up: Purpose and mission statement, background of organization, and statement of the problem (5 points)Content demonstrates a lack of knowledge on key points. Does not include summary of Purpose and mission statement, background of organization, and statement of the problemContent demonstrates a lack of knowledge on key points. Does not include summary of Purpose and mission statement, background of organization, and statement of the problem; Not representative of doctoral level workRepresentative of doctoral level workContent demonstrates exceptional knowledge on key points including a summary of Purpose and mission statement, background of organization, and statement of the problem; Not representative of doctoral level workRepresentative of doctoral level workStatement, background of organization, and statement of the problem; Representative of doctoral level workContent demonstrates doctoral level workContent demonstrates problem; Representative of doctoral level workContent demonstrates doctoral level workWrite Up: Program including goals, objectives, scope of needs assessment, evaluation plan,Content demonstrates a lack of knowledge on key points. Does not include Program Evaluation Plan including goals, objectives, scope ofContent demonstrates program Evaluation Plan including goals, objectives, scope ofContent demonstrates program Evaluation Plan including goals, objecti	
Not representative of doctoral level workdoctoral level workRepresentative of doctoral level workWrite Up: Purpose and mission statement, background of organization, and statement of the problem (5 points)Content demonstrates a lack of knowledge on key points. Does not include summary of Purpose and mission statement, background of organization, and statement of the problemContent demonstrates knowledge on key points including a summary of Purpose and mission statement, background of organization, and statement of the problem; Not representative of doctoral level workContent demonstrates knowledge on key points including a summary of Purpose and mission statement, background of organization, and statement of the problem; Not representative of doctoral level workRepresentative of doctoral level workWrite Up: Program Evaluation Plan including goals, objectives, scope of needs assessment, evaluation plan,Content demonstrates a lack of knowledge on key points. Does not include Program Evaluation Plan including goals, objectives, scope ofContent demonstrates points. IncludesContent demonstrates points. Includes Program Evaluation Plan including goals, objectives, scope ofContent demonstrates program Evaluation Plan including goals, objectives, scope ofContent demonstrates points. Includes	
doctoral level workdoctoral level workWrite Up: Purpose and missionContent demonstrates a lack of knowledgeContent demonstrates knowledge on key points including a summary of PurposeContent demonstrates exceptionalstatement, background of organization, and statement of the problemon key points. Does not include summary of Purpose and background of organization, and statement, background ofmission statement, background of organization, and statement of the problem; Not representative of doctoral level workmission statement of the problem; Not representative of doctoral level workstatement of the problem; Representative of doctoral level workWrite Up: Program Evaluation Plan including goals, objectives, scope of needs assessment, evaluation plan,Content demonstrates including goals, including goals,Content demonstrates problem; Not representative of a lack of knowledge on key points. Does not include Program Evaluation Plan including goals, objectives, scope ofContent demonstrates program Evaluation Plan including goals, objectives, scope ofContent demonstrates program Evaluation Plan including goals, objectives, scope ofContent demonstrates program Evaluation Plan including goals, objectives, scope ofContent demonstrates plan including goals, objectives, scope of	
Write Up: Purpose and missionContent demonstrates a lack of knowledge on key points. Does not include summary organization, and statement of the problemContent demonstrates knowledge on key points including a summary of Purpose and mission statement, background of organization, and statement of the problemContent demonstrates knowledge on key points including a summary of Purpose and missionContent demonstrates exceptional knowledge on key points including a summary of Purpose and missionContent demonstrates exceptional knowledge on key points including a summary of Purpose and missionContent demonstrates exceptional summary of Purpose and missionContent demonstrates exceptionalContent demonstrates exceptional(5 points)organization, and statement of the problem; doctoral level workorganization, and statement of the problem; doctoral level workorganization, and statement of the problem; Representative of doctoral level workStatement, background of organization, and statement of the problem; Representative of doctoral level workWrite Up: Program Evaluation Plan including goals, objectives, scope of needs assessment, evaluation plan,Content demonstrates including goals, including goals,Content demonstrates program Evaluation plan including goals, objectives, scope ofContent demonstrates plan including goals, objectives, scope ofPlan including goals, plan including goals,	
and missiona lack of knowledge on key points. Does not include summary organization, and statement of the problem (5 points)a lack of knowledge on key points. Does not include summary of Purpose and mission statement, background of organization, and statement of the problem (5 points)knowledge on key points including a and mission statement, background of organization, and statement of the problem; Not representative of doctoral level workknowledge on key points including a summary of Purpose and mission statement, background of organization, and statement of the problem;exceptional knowledge on key points including a and mission statement, background of organization, and statement of the problem;exceptional knowledge on key points including a and mission statement, background of organization, and statement of the problem;exceptional knowledge organization, and statement of the problem;Write Up: Program Evaluation Plan including goals, objectives, scope of needs assessment, evaluation plan,Content demonstrates including goals, including goals, objectives, scope ofContent demonstrates program Evaluation Plan including goals, objectives, scope ofContent demonstrates program Evaluation Plan including goals, objectives, scope ofProgram Evaluation Plan including goals, objectives, scope ofProgram Evaluation Plan including goals, objectives, scope of	es
statement, background of organization, and statement of the problem (5 points)on key points. Does not include summary of Purpose and mission statement, background of organization, and statement of the problem; Not representative of doctoral level workpoints including a summary of Purpose and mission statement, background of organization, and statement of the problem;points including a summary of Purpose and missionknowledge on key points including a summary of Purpose and mission(5 points)organization, and statement of the problem;organization, and statement of the problem;statement of the problem;organization, and statement of the problem;background of organization, and statement of the problem;Write Up: Program Evaluation Plan including goals, objectives, scope of needs assessment, evaluation plan,Content demonstrates evaluation Plan including goals,Content demonstrates programContent demonstrates programContent demonstrates programContent demonstrates program Evaluation Plan including goals, objectives, scope ofProgram Evaluation Plan including goals, objectives, scope ofProgram Evaluation Plan including goals, objectives, scope ofProgram Evaluation Plan including goals, objectives, scope of	
background of organization, and statement of the problem (5 points)not include summary of Purpose and mission statement, background of organization, and statement of the problem; Not representative of doctoral level worksummary of Purpose and mission statement, background of organization, and statement of the problem; Not representative of doctoral level workpoints including a summary of Purpose and missionWrite Up: Program Evaluation Plan including goals, evaluation plan,Content demonstrates including goals, oincluding goals, including goals,Content demonstrates including goals, including goals, objectives, scope ofContent demonstrates including goals, objectives, scope ofProgram Evaluation Plan including goals, objectives, scope ofProgram Evaluation Plan including goals, objectives, scope of	
organization, and statement of the problem (5 points)of Purpose and mission statement, background of organization, and statement of the problem; Not representative of doctoral level workand mission statement, background of organization, and statement of the problem; Not representative of doctoral level workand mission statement, background of organization, and statement of the problem; Not representative of doctoral level worksummary of Purpo and mission statement, background of organization, and statement of the problem; Not representative of doctoral level worksummary of Purpo and mission statement, background of organization, and statement of the problem; Representative of doctoral level workWrite Up: Program Evaluation Plan including goals, objectives, scope of needs assessment, evaluation plan,Content demonstrates the program Evaluation Plan including goals, objectives, scope ofContent demonstrates the program Evaluation Plan including goals, objectives, scope ofSummary of Purpo and mission statement, background of organization, and statement of the program Evaluation Plan including goals, objectives, scope of	
statement of the problem (5 points)mission statement, background of organization, and statement of the problem;statement, background of organization, and statement of the problem;statement, background of organization, and statement of the problem;and mission statement, background of organization, and statement of the problem;Write Up: Program Evaluation Plan including goals, objectives, scope of needs assessment, evaluation plan,Content demonstrates not include ProgramContent demonstrates not including goals, including goals,Content demonstrates problem;Content demonstrates problem;Content demonstrates programValuation plan,Evaluation Plan including goals,or key points. Does not include ProgramContent demonstrates programContent demonstrates program Evaluation Plan including goals, objectives, scope ofContent demonstrates Program Evaluation Plan including goals, objectives, scope ofProgram Evaluation Plan including goals, objectives, scope ofProgram Evaluation Plan including goals, Program Evaluation	e
problem (5 points)background of organization, and statement of the problem; Not representative of doctoral level workbackground of organization, and statement of the problem; Representative of doctoral level workstatement, background of organization, and statement of the problem; Representative of doctoral level workWrite Up: Program Evaluation Plan including goals, objectives, scope of needs assessment, evaluation plan,Content demonstrates not include Program Evaluation Plan including goals,Content demonstrates problem; Content demonstrates program Evaluation Plan including goals, objectives, scope ofContent demonstrates problem; Representative of doctoral level workContent demonstrates problem; Representative of doctoral level work	C
(5 points)organization, and statement of the problem; Not representative of doctoral level workorganization, and statement of the problem; Representative of doctoral level workbackground of organization, and statement of the problem; Representative of doctoral level workWrite Up: Program Evaluation Plan including goals, objectives, scope of needs assessment, evaluation plan,Content demonstrates not include ProgramContent demonstrates points. Including goals, objectives, scope of nicluding goals,Content demonstrates points. Including goals, objectives, scope of points, including goals,Content demonstrates points. Including goals, objectives, scope ofContent demonstrates points. Including goals, points. Including goals, objectives, scope ofProgram Evaluation Plan including goals, objectives, scope ofProgram Evaluation Plan including goals, objectives, scope of	
statement of the problem; Not representative of doctoral level workstatement of the problem; Representative of doctoral level workorganization, and statement of the problem; Representative of doctoral level workWrite Up: Program Evaluation Plan including goals, objectives, scope of needs assessment, evaluation plan,Content demonstrates not include Program Evaluation PlanContent demonstrates points. Does not include Program Evaluation Plan including goals, objectives, scope ofContent demonstrates problem; Representative of doctoral level workContent demonstrates knowledge points. IncludesContent demonstrates exceptional points. Includes	
problem; Not representative of doctoral level workproblem; Representative of doctoral level workstatement of the problem; Representative of doctoral level workWrite Up: Program Evaluation Plan including goals, objectives, scope of needs assessment, evaluation plan,Content demonstrates not include Program Evaluation PlanContent demonstrates points. Does not include Program Program Evaluation Plan including goals, objectives, scope ofContent demonstrates problem; Representative of doctoral level work	
Not representative of doctoral level workRepresentative of doctoral level workproblem; Representative of doctoral level workWrite Up: Program Evaluation Plan including goals, objectives, scope of needs assessment, evaluation plan,Content demonstrates a lack of knowledge on key points. Does not include Program Evaluation PlanContent demonstrates points. IncludesContent demonstrates knowledge on key points. IncludesContent demonstrates exceptional knowledge on key points. IncludesContent demonstrates exceptional points. Includes	
doctoral level workdoctoral level workRepresentative of doctoral level workWrite Up: Program Evaluation Plan including goals, objectives, scope of needs assessment, evaluation plan,Content demonstrates a lack of knowledge on key points. Does not include Program Evaluation PlanContent demonstrates knowledge points. IncludesContent demonstrates knowledge on key points. IncludesContent demonstrates exceptional points. Includes	
Write Up: Program Evaluation PlanContent demonstrates a lack of knowledge on key points. Does not include Program Evaluation PlanContent demonstrates knowledge points. IncludesContent demonstrates exceptional knowledge on key points. IncludesWrite Up: Program Evaluation Plan including goals, needs assessment, evaluation plan,Content demonstrates including goals, including goals,Content demonstrates knowledge points. IncludesContent demonstrates exceptional points. IncludesProgram Evaluation plan including goals, evaluation plan,Program Evaluation plan including goals, objectives, scope ofProgram Evaluation plan including goals, plan including goals,	
Write Up: Program Evaluation PlanContent demonstrates a lack of knowledge on key points. Does not include ProgramContent demonstrates knowledge on key points. IncludesContent demonstrates exceptional knowledge on key points. IncludesWrite Up: Program including goals, objectives, scope of needs assessment, evaluation plan,Content demonstrates including goals, including goals,Content demonstrates knowledge points. IncludesContent demonstrates exceptional knowledge on key points. Includes	
Evaluation Plana lack of knowledgeknowledge on keyexceptionalincluding goals,on key points. Doespoints. Includesknowledge on keyobjectives, scope ofnot include ProgramProgram Evaluationpoints. Includesneeds assessment,Evaluation PlanPlan including goals,Program Evaluationevaluation plan,including goals,objectives, scope ofPlan including goals,	
including goals, objectives, scope of needs assessment, evaluation plan,on key points. Does not include Program Evaluation Planpoints. Includes Program Evaluation Plan including goals, objectives, scope ofknowledge on key points. Includesincluding goals, evaluation plan,on key points. Does not include Program Program Evaluation objectives, scope ofknowledge on key points. Includes	52
objectives, scope of needs assessment, evaluation plan,not include Program Evaluation Plan including goals,Program Evaluation Plan including goals, objectives, scope ofpoints. Includes Program Evaluation Plan including goals,	
needs assessment, evaluation plan, Evaluation Plan including goals, Descrives, scope of Program Evaluation Plan including goals, Program Evaluation Plan including goals, Plan i	
evaluation plan, including goals, objectives, scope of Plan including goa	
Law minute of the stress same of the second se	
overview of objectives, scope of needs assessment, objectives, scope of	
evaluation/consultatio needs assessment, evaluation plan, needs assessment,	
n, and model(s) evaluation plan, overview of evaluation plan,	
utilized overview of evaluation/consultatio overview of	
(5 points) evaluation/consultatio n, and model(s) evaluation/consultat	10
n, and model(s) utilized; n, and model(s)	
utilized; Not Representative of utilized;	
representative of doctoral level work Representative of	
doctoral level work doctoral level work	
Write Up:Content demonstratesContent demonstratesContent demonstrates	
Methodologya lack of knowledgeknowledge on keyexceptional	
including methods on key points. Does points; Includes knowledge on key	
used, data collection, not include Methodology points; Includes	
and data analysis Methodology including methods Methodology	
(with any including methods used, data collection, including methods	
graphs/charts used, data collection, and data analysis used, data collection	es
necessary) and data analysis (with any and data analysis	es





(5 points)	(with any	graphs/charts	(with any
(5 points)	graphs/charts	graphs/charts necessary);	(with any graphs/charts
	necessary); Not	Representative of	necessary);
	•	doctoral level work	
	representative of doctoral level work	doctoral level work	Representative of
Walte Hue Demalte		Contont	doctoral level work
Write Up: Results	Content	Content	Content demonstrates
including findings,	demonstrates a lack	demonstrates	exceptional
conclusions, and	of knowledge on key	knowledge on key	knowledge on key
recommendations	points. Does not	points; Includes	points; Includes
(5 points)	include Findings,	Findings,	Findings,
	conclusions, and	conclusions, and	conclusions, and
	recommendations;	recommendations;	recommendations;
	Not representative of	Representative of	Representative of
	doctoral level work	doctoral level work	doctoral level work
Presentation Content	Presentation content	Presentation content	Presentation content
(5 points)	does not include an	includes an	includes an
	introduction, body,	introduction, body,	introduction, body,
	and/or conclusion but	and conclusion but	and conclusion;
	may lack some	may lack some	Presenters provide a
	details; Presenters do	details; Presenters	clear and concise
	not provide a clear	provide a clear and	summary and
	and concise summary	concise summary and	synthesis of program
	and synthesis of	synthesis of program	evaluation write-up;
	program evaluation	evaluation write-up	presentation
	write-up and/or have	with some error;	indicative of doctoral
	significant error;	presentation	level work
	presentation is not	indicative of doctoral	
	indicative of doctoral	level work	
	level work		
Presentation Delivery	Presentation appeared	Presentation appeared	Presentation appeared
(5 points)	unrehearsed;	rehearsed; presenter	rehearsed; presenter
(5 points)	presenter appeared	-	-
	1 11	appeared professional most of the time;	appeared professional
	unprofessional; Presentation seemed	,	throughout; Presentation was
		Presentation seemed	
	unorganized;	organized;	clearly organized;
	sequencing was off;	sequencing may have	sequencing was
	presenters seem	been slightly off;	appropriate;
	unprepared and was	presenters seem	presenters were
	not enthusiastic about	prepared for the most	prepared and
	the	part and was	enthusiastic about the
	topic/presentation;	enthusiastic about the	topic/presentation
	not representative of	topic/presentation	representative of
	doctoral level work	representative of	doctoral level work
		doctoral level work	



APA Style/Grammar	Substantial APA	Some APA errors (3-	Little to no errors (1-
(5 points)	errors (> 6 errors).	4 errors). Good	2 errors). Exceptional
	Poor quality, not	quality indicative of	quality indicative of
	indicative of graduate	graduate level work.	graduate level work.
	level work.	-	-

1. Homework Assignments (3 at 10 points each; 30 points). Each student will complete a total of three homework assignments during the course of the semester. The homework assignments are listed below and you will find the due dates in the course schedule. The assignments align with your final program evaluation project so you can get feedback. Please note that while all homework assignments are 'rough drafts' they will be graded for completeness. I do not expect perfection and they will certainly need edits/changes/additions, but I do expect your best effort to complete the assignment for grading. Also note that your homework assignments DO NOT include everything needed for your final project. Please review the final project rubric and several examples in D2L.

Homework Assignment 1: Evaluation Approach

In 2-4 pages, provide an overview of the evaluation approach to be used for your final project including major concepts and characteristics, purpose/rationale for choosing this approach, strengths and limitations for using the approach, and cultural considerations when utilizing this approach.

Homework Assignment 2: Evaluation Plan (beginning phase)

For this assignment, you will begin to develop your evaluation plan. This plan will include the purpose of evaluation, stakeholders/participants involved, problem to be addressed (statement of the problem), goals and objectives, and design to be implemented (qualitative, quantitative, mixed methods).

Homework Assignment 3: Methods

Describe in detail your methods used for the program evaluation. This will include data collection procedures (including data sources and procedures) and data analysis (how did you or will you analyze your data).

Homework Assignment Kubric (for each assignment)			
	1 – Does Not Meet	2-Meets	3 – Exceeds
	Expectations	Expectations	Expectations
	(0-3 points)	(4 points)	(5 points)
	_		_
Content and	Knowledge of	Knowledge of	Knowledge of
Completeness: a	content was not	content was	content clearly
demonstrated	identified/addressed	identified/addressed	identified/addressed
awareness of the of	or information	but missing one or	with no missing
the knowledge base	provided was	two key	detail; Completeness
in the area of study;	underdeveloped;	considerations;	of assignment with

Homework Assignment Rubric (for each assignment)



criteria that should be	Completeness of	Completeness of	no missing detail;
included in the	assignment was	assignment but	meets standards of
assignment are	underdeveloped; does	missing one or two	doctoral level
addressed (5 points)	not meet standards of	key considerations;	coursework
	doctoral level	meets standards of	
	coursework	doctoral level	
		coursework	
Structure and	Structure	Structure organized	Structure well
Mechanics: topics	disorganized and	but may lack	organized with
are well organized	lacks any headings,	headings,	headings,
with headings,	subheadings, and/or	subheadings, and/or	subheadings, and
subheadings, and	transitions with	transitions and some	transitions and no
transitions; correct	significant errors	errors (1-2); Mostly	errors; Completely
grammar, spelling,	(more than 2); Does	adhere to APA style;	adhere to APA style;
consistent style (5	not adhere to APA	sufficient grammar	sufficient grammar
points)	style; poor grammar	and sentence	and sentence
	and sentence	structure; paper is	structure; paper is
	structure; paper is	fairly organized; Use	well-organized; Use
	disorganized;	of in-text citations	of in-text citations
	omission of in-text	and references (if	and references (if
	citations and	appropriate); meets	appropriate); meets
	references (if	standards of doctoral	standards of doctoral
	appropriate); does not	level coursework	level coursework
	meet standards of		
	doctoral level		
	coursework		

2. Active Online Presence & Discussion Boards (Attendance; 5 discussions 30 points total). Active attendance and online presence is defined as the exchange of ideas between colleagues engaged in scholarly inquiry is a key aspect of doctoral graduate-level learning and is a required activity in this course. During the weeks where there is an online discussion prompt each student is expected to participate at least two separate days a week in the weekly Discussion area. Discussion topics/questions are provided in the "Discussion" section of the learning week.

Discussion 1 ost Rubite			
	1 – Does Not Meet	2-Meets	3 – Exceeds
	Expectation	Expectation	Expectations
	(0-2 point)	(2.5 points)	(3 points)
Discussion	Post is not complete,	Post presents most	Post presents all
Post	not written in a clear	elements of the	elements of the
Qualities	manner OR post is	question OR all	question(s)
	missing critical	elements discussed	discussed
	components of the	in a brief manner.	thoroughly and
	question OR is	Post is evident of	clearly. Post is
	discussed in an	graduate level work	evident of graduate

Discussion Post Rubric



illogical/inconsistent	with some	level work with few
manner. Post has	grammatical/APA	to no
several	errors	grammatical/APA
grammatical/APA		errors.
errors; not consistent		
with graduate level		
work		

Response Post Rubric

			-
	1 – Does Not Meet	2-Meets	3 – Exceeds
	Expectation	Expectation	Expectations
	(0-2 points)	(2.5 points)	(3 points)
Response	Response is not	Response presents	Response presents
Post	complete, missing	most elements in a	all elements
Qualities	critical components	brief manner.	required thoroughly
	OR feedback is not	Response is evident	and clearly.
	thoughtful. Response	of graduate level	Provided thoughtful
	has several	work with some	feedback to peer.
	grammatical/APA	grammatical/APA	Response is evident
	errors and not	errors.	of graduate level
	consistent with		work with few to
	graduate level work.		no
			grammatical/APA
			errors.

It is your responsibility to check our online classroom on a weekly basis for discussion questions, not knowing is not an acceptable reason for failure to participate. In addition, you are expected to respond to the postings of your peers. To count as participation, responses need to be thoughtful; that is, they must refer to the week's readings, relevant issues in the news, information obtained from other sources, and/or ideas expressed in other class members' postings. Where appropriate, you should use references to support your position (with a complete citation at the end of your response). The discussion questions require a response to a minimum of one other student's posting; it should be no more than two paragraphs long.

If there is a Discussion question for the week, initial postings/responses are due by Thursday at midnight, and responses to your peers are due by Sunday at 11:59 p.m. It is important to adhere to the weekly time frame to allow others ample time to respond to your posting. <u>There will be a total of 5 graded discussion boards for this course.</u> Late postings are not accepted and no points will be earned.

<u>Recommendation</u>: It is recommended that you type your discussion post responses in a word processing program such as Microsoft Word in case your D2L window times out or in case of technical malfunction. This is also helpful with formatting, grammatical issues and spelling as well.



All graded assignments (including discussion boards) are due on Sunday at 11:59 p.m. (excluding first posts which are due on Thursdays) unless otherwise specified by the instructor.

Assignments are due on the day noted in the syllabus. Unless noted otherwise, all assignments are due by 11:59pm. Late assignments will have 10% deduction per day late from the final score. **I will not accept late discussion/response postings.**

GRADING

Itemized Grading Procedure

Program Evaluation Project	40 points
Homework Assignments	30 points
Discussion Boards/Attendance	30 points
Total:	100 points

A (90-100) - Defined as consistently excellent performance, which distinguishes the individual as being hard-working and highly motivated to succeed.

B (80-89) - Defined as above average performance, occasionally submitting work of superior quality distinguishing the individual as being of high caliber.

C (70-79) - Defined as average performance with little or no distinction. In doctoral studies at A&M-Commerce, no credit is awarded for courses in which a grade of "C" is earned. Any student who earns a third grade of "C" or lower will be disenrolled and not be permitted to pursue further doctoral study at A&M-Commerce.

D (60-69) - Defined as below average performance. In graduate studies at A&M-Commerce, students do not receive credit for courses in which a course grade of "D" is earned. The course must be repeated and a higher grade earned in order to receive credit.

F (0-59) - Defined as unacceptable performance in relation to standards. In graduate studies at A&M-Commerce, students do not receive credit for courses in which a course grade of "F" is earned. Students are immediately placed on academic probation. The course must be repeated and a higher grade earned in order to receive credit.

This course is online. I do not keep any paper record (including a grade book) on this class. This means that your work has to be uploaded within D2L in order for you to receive credit. Please do not email assignments to me. Please make sure that you are familiar with D2L. Forward all your technology questions to support services in D2L.



Browser support

D2L is committed to performing key application testing when new browser versions are released. New and updated functionality is also tested against the latest version of supported browsers. However, due to the frequency of some browser releases, D2L cannot guarantee that each browser version will perform as expected. If you encounter any issues with any of the browser versions listed in the tables below, contact D2L Support, who will determine the best course of action for resolution. Reported issues are prioritized by supported browsers and then maintenance browsers.

Supported browsers are the latest or most recent browser versions that are tested against new versions of D2L products. Customers can report problems and receive support for issues. For an optimal experience, D2L recommends using supported browsers with D2L products.

Maintenance browsers are older browser versions that are not tested extensively against new versions of D2L products. Customers can still report problems and receive support for critical issues; however, D2L does not guarantee all issues will be addressed. A maintenance browser becomes officially unsupported after one year.

Note the following:

- Ensure that your browser has JavaScript and Cookies enabled.
- For desktop systems, you must have Adobe Flash Player 10.1 or greater.
- The Brightspace Support features are now optimized for production environments when using the Google Chrome browser, Apple Safari browser, Microsoft Edge browser, Microsoft Internet Explorer browser, and Mozilla Firefox browsers.

Browser	Supported Browser Version(s)	Maintenance Browser Version(s)		
Microsoft® Edge	Latest	N/A		
Microsoft® Internet Explorer®	N/A	11		
Mozilla® Firefox®	Latest, ESR	N/A		
Google [®] Chrome [™]	Latest	N/A		
Apple® Safari®	Latest	N/A		

Desktop Support



Tablet and Mobile Support

Device	Operating System	Browser	Supported Browser Version(s)
Android™	Android 4.4+	Chrome	Latest
Apple	iOS®	Safari, Chrome	The current major version of iOS (the latest minor or point release of that major version) and the previous major version of iOS (the latest minor or point release of that major version). For example, as of June 7, 2017, D2Lsupports iOS 10.3.2 and iOS 9.3.5, but not iOS 10.2.1, 9.0.2, or any other version. Chrome: Latest version for the iOS browser.
Windows	Windows 10	Edge, Chrome, Firefox	Latest of all browsers, and Firefox ESR.

- You will need regular access to a computer with a broadband Internet connection. The minimum computer requirements are:
 - 512 MB of RAM, 1 GB or more preferred
 - Broadband connection required courses are heavily video intensive
 - Video display capable of high-color 16-bit display 1024 x 768 or higher resolution
- For YouSeeU Sync Meeting sessions <u>8 Mbps</u> is required. Additional system requirements found here: <u>https://support.youseeu.com/hc/en-us/articles/115007031107-Basic-System-Requirements</u>
- You must have a:
 - o Sound card, which is usually integrated into your desktop or laptop computer
 - Speakers or headphones.
 - *For courses utilizing video-conferencing tools and/or an online proctoring solution, a webcam and microphone are required.
- Both versions of Java (32 bit and 64 bit) must be installed and up to date on your machine. At a minimum Java 7, update 51, is required to support the learning management system. The most current version of Java can be downloaded at: <u>JAVA web site</u> <u>http://www.java.com/en/download/manual.jsp</u>



• Current anti-virus software must be installed and kept up to date.

Running the browser check will ensure your internet browser is supported.

- Pop-ups are allowed. JavaScript is enabled. Cookies are enabled.
- You will need some additional free software (plug-ins) for enhanced web browsing. Ensure that you download the free versions of the following software:
 - o <u>Adobe Reader</u> <u>https://get.adobe.com/reader/</u>
 - o Adobe Flash Player (version 17 or later) https://get.adobe.com/flashplayer/
 - o Adobe Shockwave Player https://get.adobe.com/shockwave/
 - <u>Apple Quick Time</u> <u>http://www.apple.com/quicktime/download/</u>
- At a minimum, you must have Microsoft Office 2013, 2010, 2007 or Open Office. Microsoft Office is the standard office productivity software utilized by faculty, students, and staff. Microsoft Word is the standard word processing software, Microsoft Excel is the standard spreadsheet software, and Microsoft PowerPoint is the standard presentation software. Copying and pasting, along with attaching/uploading documents for assignment submission, will also be required. If you do not have Microsoft Office, you can check with the bookstore to see if they have any student copies.

ACCESS AND NAVIGATION

You will need your campus-wide ID (CWID) and password to log into the course. If you do not know your CWID or have forgotten your password, contact the Center for IT Excellence (CITE) at 903.468.6000 or <u>helpdesk@tamuc.edu</u>.

Note: Personal computer and internet connection problems do not excuse the requirement to complete all course work in a timely and satisfactory manner. Each student needs to have a backup method to deal with these inevitable problems. These methods might include the availability of a backup PC at home or work, the temporary use of a computer at a friend's home, the local library, office service companies, Starbucks, a TAMUC campus open computer lab, etc.

COMMUNICATION AND SUPPORT Brightspace Support

Need Help?

Student Support

If you have any questions or are having difficulties with the course material, please contact your Instructor.



Technical Support

If you are having technical difficulty with any part of Brightspace, please contact Brightspace Technical Support at 1-877-325-7778 or click on the **Live Chat** or click on the words "click here" to submit an issue via email.



System Maintenance

Please note that on the 4th Sunday of each month there will be System Maintenance which means the system will not be available 12 pm-6 am CST.

Interaction with Instructor Statement

[Example]

Communication with your professors is key to your professional growth. I am here to support and guide you along your academic journey. With that being said, I cannot help you if you do not communicate with me. Please make an appointment if you have any concerns or questions. Because I teach in different locations, email is the best way to reach me. I will attempt to answer all emails within 24 hours, Monday-Friday, but at times will need up to 72 hours to do so. When emailing, please use your university email and address me with courtesy and respect.

COURSE AND UNIVERSITY PROCEDURES/POLICIES

Course Specific Procedures/Policies

Written assignments are due on the day noted in the syllabus. All papers are due at the beginning of the class period. Late papers will have 10% deduction per day late from the final score.

Syllabus Change Policy

The syllabus is a guide. Circumstances and events, such as student progress, may make it necessary for the instructor to modify the syllabus during the semester. Any changes made to the syllabus will be announced in advance.

University Specific Procedures

Student Conduct

All students enrolled at the University shall follow the tenets of common decency and acceptable behavior conducive to a positive learning environment. The Code of Student Conduct is described in detail in the <u>Student Guidebook</u>.

http://www.tamuc.edu/Admissions/oneStopShop/undergraduateAdmissions/studentGuidebook.as px



Students should also consult the Rules of Netiquette for more information regarding how to interact with students in an online forum: <u>Netiquette</u> <u>http://www.albion.com/netiquette/corerules.html</u>

TAMUC Attendance

For more information about the attendance policy please visit the <u>Attendance</u> webpage and <u>Procedure 13.99.99.R0.01</u>. <u>http://www.tamuc.edu/admissions/registrar/generalInformation/attendance.aspx</u>

 $\underline{http://www.tamuc.edu/aboutUs/policiesProceduresStandardsStatements/rulesProcedures/13students/academic/13.99.99.R0.01.pdf}$

Academic Integrity

Students at Texas A&M University-Commerce are expected to maintain high standards of integrity and honesty in all of their scholastic work. For more details and the definition of academic dishonesty see the following procedures:

Undergraduate Academic Dishonesty 13.99.99.R0.03

http://www.tamuc.edu/aboutUs/policiesProceduresStandardsStatements/rulesProcedures/13stude nts/undergraduates/13.99.99.R0.03UndergraduateAcademicDishonesty.pdf

Graduate Student Academic Dishonesty 13.99.99.R0.10

http://www.tamuc.edu/aboutUs/policiesProceduresStandardsStatements/rulesProcedures/13stude nts/graduate/13.99.99.R0.10GraduateStudentAcademicDishonesty.pdf

ADA Statement

Students with Disabilities

The Americans with Disabilities Act (ADA) is a federal anti-discrimination statute that provides comprehensive civil rights protection for persons with disabilities. Among other things, this legislation requires that all students with disabilities be guaranteed a learning environment that provides for reasonable accommodation of their disabilities. If you have a disability requiring an accommodation, please contact:

Office of Student Disability Resources and Services

Texas A&M University-Commerce Gee Library- Room 162 Phone (903) 886-5150 or (903) 886-5835 Fax (903) 468-8148 Email: <u>studentdisabilityservices@tamuc.edu</u>



Website: Office of Student Disability Resources and Services http://www.tamuc.edu/campusLife/campusServices/studentDisabilityResourcesAndServices/

Nondiscrimination Notice

Texas A&M University-Commerce will comply in the classroom, and in online courses, with all federal and state laws prohibiting discrimination and related retaliation on the basis of race, color, religion, sex, national origin, disability, age, genetic information or veteran status. Further, an environment free from discrimination on the basis of sexual orientation, gender identity, or gender expression will be maintained.

Campus Concealed Carry Statement

Texas Senate Bill - 11 (Government Code 411.2031, et al.) authorizes the carrying of a concealed handgun in Texas A&M University-Commerce buildings only by persons who have been issued and are in possession of a Texas License to Carry a Handgun. Qualified law enforcement officers or those who are otherwise authorized to carry a concealed handgun in the State of Texas are also permitted to do so. Pursuant to Penal Code (PC) 46.035 and A&M-Commerce Rule 34.06.02.R1, license holders may not carry a concealed handgun in restricted locations.

For a list of locations, please refer to the <u>Carrying Concealed Handguns On Campus</u> document and/or consult your event organizer.

Web url: <u>http://www.tamuc.edu/aboutUs/policiesProceduresStandardsStatements/rulesProcedures/34SafetyOfEmployeesAndStudents/34.06.02.R1.pdf</u>

Pursuant to PC 46.035, the open carrying of handguns is prohibited on all A&M-Commerce campuses. Report violations to the University Police Department at 903-886-5868 or 9-1-1.

COURSE OUTLINE / CALENDAR

Date	Торіс	CACREP Standard(s)	Readings	Assignments
Week 1 Module One	Course Introduction		Course Introduction	Introductions to Course *Begin forming final project teams

COURSE OUTLINE/CALENDAR



Week 2 Module One	Introduction to Program Evaluation; History and Trends; Ethical Issues		-Fitzpatrick, Sanders, & Worthen (2011): Chapter 1-3 Introduction to Program Evaluation; History and Trends; Ethical Issues	*Send team members by end of the week
Week 3 Module Two	Approaches to Program Evaluation; Mixed Methods in Program Evaluation	6.B.4.f.	-Fitzpatrick, Sanders, & Worthen (2011): Chapters 4-6 -Article: (Prosek, 2019)	Discussion Board One *Send project program choice (site) by end of the week
Week 4 Module Three	Approaches to Program Evaluation	6.B.4.f.	Fitzpatrick, Sanders, & Worthen (2011): Chapters 7-9	
Week 5 Module Four	Project Work Week		Project Work Week	Project Work Week *Send progress report to professor and each team member contribution Homework 1
Week 6 Module Four	Guidelines for Planning Evaluations; Program Development	6.B.4.f.	-Fitzpatrick, Sanders, & Worthen (2011): Chapters 11 & 12 -Article: (Calley, 2009)	Discussion Board Two
Week 7	SPRING BREAK		SPRING BREAK	SPRING BREAK
Week 8 Module Five	Guidelines for Planning Evaluations; Program Evaluation Instruments	6.B.4.f.	-Fitzpatrick, Sanders, & Worthen (2011): Chapters 13 & 14 -Article: (Tate et al., 2014)	*Begin data gathering (if you have not yet) *Send progress report to professor Homework 2
Week 9 Module Six	Conducting Evaluations: Collecting Information; Survey of Counselors' Use of		-Fitzpatrick, Sanders, & Worthen (2011): Chapter 15 -Article: (Peterson, Schmid, & Kososki, 2019)	Discussion Board Three



	Program Evaluation			
Week 10 Module Seven	Conducting Evaluations: Methods	6.B.4.f.	Fitzpatrick, Sanders, & Worthen (2011): Chapter 16	
Week 11 Module Eight	Reporting Results		Fitzpatrick, Sanders, & Worthen (2011): Chapter 17	Homework 3
Week 12 Module Nine	Project Work Week		Project Work Week	Project Work Week Discussion Board Four
Week 13 Module Nine	Project Work Week		Project Work Week	Project Work Week
Week 14 Module Ten	Final Presentations		Final Presentations	*Final Consultation/Evaluation Project Completed- End data gathering and termination Program Evaluation Project (Including Presentation) Due by 4/29
Week 15 Module Ten	Final Presentations		Final Presentations	Discussion Board Five Due by