

SCHOOL OF SOCIAL WORK

SWK 361 – Issues in Family Treatment

INSTRUCTOR: Bonnie Dockery, LCSW-S, LMFT-S

OFFICE HOURS: By appointment at Mesquite Metroplex Campus

OFFICE PHONE : 800-697-4296 (leave message) E-MAIL : bonnie.dockery@tamuc.edu

COURSE DESCRIPTION

This elective course is designed to enable students to understand the theories and the process of helping families. Information is provided on diverse family structures and work with families facing divorce, death, domestic violence, and other social problems.

GOALS AND COMPETENCIES:

- 10. Prepare BSW graduates for entry-level professional social work practice that reflects generalist social work knowledge and skills. Graduates will be able to demonstrate the following competencies:
- 1.1 Apply critical thinking and effective communication (2.1.3)
- 1.2 Engage in research-informed practice and practice-informed research (2.1.6)
- 1.3 Apply knowledge of HBSE to practice (2.1.7)
- 1.4 Apply knowledge of policy to practice (2.1.8)
- 1.5 Apply change strategies with all levels of systems (2.1.10)
- 2. Enable BSW graduates to practice ethically and apply social work values to promote equality and justice. Graduates will be able to demonstrate the following competencies:
- 2.1 Apply social work ethics & principles (2.1.2)
- 2.2 Engage diversity in practice (2.1.4)
- 2.3 Promote human rights and social and economic justice (2.1.5)

- 3. Provide meaningful contexts through which BSW students engage in leadership, service, and professional excellence. Graduates will be able to demonstrate the following competencies:
- 3.1 Identify and respond as a professional social worker (2.1.1)
- 3.2 Respond to professional contexts that shape practice, demonstrating qualities of leadership for the profession. (2.1.9)

COURSE OBJECTIVES

- 1. To further the students understanding of the multi problem, crisis-prone family.
- 2. To enhance the student's awareness of current, state-of-the-art models of helping families dealing with stress, dysfunction, and coping techniques.
- 3. To explore a variety of approaches to assessment and intervention when dealing with the difficulty of family problems.
- 4. To provide students with a good systems-oriented understanding of families and how they are evolving in today's society.

TEXT:

Thomlison, B. (2007). Family Assessment Handbook: An Introduction and Practical Guide to Family Assessment. CA: Thomson, Brooks/Cole

GRADING:

Grading and evaluation – Grades will be determined according to the following percentage points earned against possible points.

Evaluation for the course grade will be computed according to the following formula: Possible Points Grade

300 - 280 - A

279 - 260 - B

259 - 240 - C

239 - 220 - D

CLASS ATTENDANCE AND PARTICIPATION:

ONLINE: Students are expected to attend class by logging in to the course in a timely manner, reflecting responsibility which is inherent in the development as a social work professional. The instructor has the ability to see when you log in, what sections you visit and how much time you spend in the course material. Assignments submitted on time are also counted as attendance; therefore, an assignment not submitted is counted as an absence for that week. Students are expected to participate in class, even when there is not a specific grade attached (see Topic Check-in). Course topics, PowerPoint lectures, discussions, groups, videos, and other experiential exercises are essential for a student's professional learning and continued development of self-awareness. In this online course, a student is considered absent if he/she fails to log in sufficient time for the material for that week or fails to complete an assignment that is due that week.

The following penalties for absences (unexcused, or excused, according to university policy) will be administered:

Weekly	Up to 2 absences	3 absences	4 absences	
	No penalty	1 letter grade drop	Class grade of "F"	
Bi-weekly	Up to 3 absences	4 absences	5 absences	6 absences
	No penalty	1 letter grade drop	1 letter grade drop	Class grade of "F"
Summer	Up to 1 absence	2 absences	3 absences	
10-week	No penalty	1 letter grade drop	Class grade of "F"	

Final evaluation and grades depend on both presence and participation. Students' grades will be significantly impacted by inadequate participation or lack of required time commitment each week. Students are expected to spend a comparable amount of time in the online learning environment as they would in class (3 hours a week in the classroom). In addition, just as in traditional F2F classrooms, students are expected to spend time reading and studying class materials.

NOTE: PROBLEMS WITH YOUR INTERNET CONNECTION AND/OR COMPUTER ARE NOT CONSIDERED AS REASONS FOR LACK OF PARTICIPATION. You have access to the university's computer labs (in the social work department AND other campus facilities, including the library) as well as local libraries and other access to computers and ISPs. If you believe that you are unable to fulfill the requirements for the course you should talk with your instructor about the possibility of dropping or withdrawing.

Class participation has three components: (1) Appropriate interactions with classmates, (2) Attentiveness, and (3) Active involvement in class activities. Evaluation of class participation is based on instructor observation. Students will be given feedback if problems are evident.

POLICY ON DUE DATES: <u>Late assignments will not be accepted, regardless of reason.</u>
Assignments are due at 11:59 p.m. on the Sunday of the week in which the assignment is located. Class weeks for this course begin on Mondays at 12:00 a.m. and close on Sundays at 11:59 p.m.

The only exception is the due date for the final week of class: Topic Check-in #4 and submission of the Final exam are due no later than Noon on Thursday May 12th

POLICY ON PLAGIARISM AND CHEATING:

Every student is expected to do his/her own work. Law, ethical standards, university policy, and departmental policy demand that students refrain from plagiarism and any form of cheating. Plagiarism is the "Act of appropriating the literacy composition of another, or parts of passages from of his [or her] writings, or the ideas or language of the same, and passing them off as the products of one's own mind." (Black's Law Dictionary, Abridged Fifth Edition, 1983). When using others' words, phrases, or ideas in writing, the original author should be given proper credit.

Cheating may take different forms. These include, but are not limited to, copying others' answers during an exam, using notes or other forms of help during an examination or quiz, except when explicitly permitted by the instructor, giving or receiving help on exams or assignments, or submitting work for one class which has already been submitted for another class for credit. Use of citations from the Internet without paraphrasing content AND proper

referencing is regarded as plagiarism. Professors have the right to use electronic review programs (such as Turn It In") to identify plagiarism.

The department does not tolerate plagiarism or cheating. A student found to be engaging in such illegal and unethical conduct may receive a failing grade in the course and may be subjected to further disciplinary proceedings. Any assignment or exam that lacks honesty will be given a grade of "0".

ACCEPTABLE CLASSROOM BEHAVIOR:

"Students at Texas A&M University-Commerce are expected to obey all federal, state, and local laws, in addition to the regulations of the University. The standards of Student Conduct including disciplinary rules and procedures are designed to provide and conform to the basic tenets of due process, as developed by institutions of higher education. As such, the University distinguishes these procedures and regulations as an educational and growth process which is not intended to conform to adversary proceedings as in a court of law. (Student's Guide Book, 2011, p. 35).

OVERVIEW OF ASSIGNMENTS

- 1. Quizzes (3 throughout the course worth 20 points each) = 60 points total
- 2. Discussion board (3 throughout the course worth 10 points each) = 30 points total
- 3. Written assignments (3 throughout the course worth 20 points each) = 60 points total
- 4. Group Assignment= 30 points
- 5. Topic Check-ins = (4 worth 5 points each) =20 points
- 6. Final exam = 100 points total

SWK 361 Schedule Spring 2014

Week ending:	Reading(s)	Assignment/Activities
Jan. 24	Syllabus	Review of Syllabus and navigation of course
Jan. 31	Chapter 10 – Critical and Reflective Thinking	Topic Check-in #1: Submit to Journal
Feb. 7	Chapter 1 – Family Contexts	Discussion Board #1
Feb. 14	Chapter 2 – A Framework for Understanding Families	Quiz #1 on Chaps 1, 2, 9
Feb. 21	Chapter 3 – The Family System	Paper #1: Submit to Dropbox
Feb. 28	Chapter 6 – Intergenerational Family Systems	Topic Check-in #2: Submit to Journal
March 6	No Readings	Discussion Board #2
March 13	Chapter 4 –Family Assessment	Quiz # 2 on Chaps 3, 4, 6
March 27	No Readings	Work on Group Assignment

April 3	Chapter 5 – Designing Family	Paper #2: Submit to Dropbox
	Interventions	
April 10	Chapter 8	Topic Check-in #3: Submit to Journal
April 17	Chapter 9 – Evaluation	Discussion Board #3
April 24	No Readings	Online Groups Assignment Due:
		Submit to Dropbox
May 1	Chapter 7 – Evidenced-based Practice	Quiz # 3 on Chaps 5, 7, 9
May 8	Online article	Paper #3: Submit to Dropbox
May 12	**** Note: May 13 th is the last day of the semester. Deadline to submit	Topic Check-in #4 Due no later than noon on May 12 th
	Topic Check-in #4 and final exam	FINAL EXAM DUE NO LATER
	is NOON May 12	THAN NOON ON May 12TH

ASSIGNMENT DETAILS

- 1. Quizzes There are three quizzes throughout the course; each one is worth 20 points (20 questions worth 1 point each). Quizzes will only open on Monday at 12 a.m. in the week they are listed and will close at 11:59 p.m. on Sunday. There are no allowances for opening a quiz after it has closed (cannot be completed late). Each quiz is on specific chapters and there are no overlaps. All quizzes are open book; however, all quizzes are also timed, which means you are advised to have notes or to have read the material to reduce flipping through pages to find the answers.
- **2. Discussion** There are 3 discussion assignments throughout this course. They are worth 10 points each. To get full points on every discussion assignment you must do <u>all</u> of the following:
 - a. You must respond to the discussion prompt of the instructor by Wednesday at 11:59 pm and then respond to TWO of your peers between Thursday after 12:01am and Sunday at 11:59 pm.
 - **b.** Your initial post must be a true discussion of your understanding of the topic assignment and **must be between 10-15 sentences**.
 - c. The comments to your **TWO peers** must be a minimum of **five sentences and must** add to the discussion. Though you are free to say things like "Interesting thought" or "Nice discussion," these comments and those similar are not counted as part of the five sentences.
 - d. Proper grammar is expected. I highly recommend typing your thoughts and comments in a file on a word processing program and check for spelling and grammatical errors. Professionalism and professional presentation is an expectation and not an option. Points will be deducted for sloppy spelling and writing.
- **3. Papers** You will have three written assignments throughout the course on an assigned

topic. Each paper is worth 20 points. All assignments are to be Times New Roman, 12 point font, and double-spaced. They are submitted via the Dropbox in your eCollege course for the week they are due. Do not submit them as an email. They must be a document with your last name as part of the file name and they must be a Word document (.doc or .docx extensions). You are required to use the template "located in "doc sharing" for each of the papers. A grading rubric is supplied for each paper so you know exactly what you are being graded on.

- **4. Group** Assignment You will be assigned to a group and given specific instructions for the group activity your group is to complete. This assignment will be given to you (and your group members) in Week 9 and must be completed by the end of Week 13. It is worth 30 points.
- **5. Topic Check-in** These are scattered throughout the course. They require that you log in for that week and watch the video. For credit for this, you must complete the assignment by clicking into your **Journal** after viewing the video and posting a one paragraph summary of what the video was about, and a one paragraph summary of your thoughts on what you saw. Be sure that you date your journal entry. The combined assignments are worth 20 points (4 @ 5 points each).
- **6. Final Exam** There are 100 questions and the exam is worth a total of 100 points. It is a **comprehensive exam on everything you learned in the course**. It pulls questions from all previous exams you have taken and is to be done within the time allocated so you will need to have studied the material.

POLICY ON LATE WORK OR EXTRA CREDIT: I DO NOT ACCEPT LATE WORK OR PROVIDE EXTRA CREDIT. There are a number of assignments in the course that will allow students of multiple learning types to excel. I do not allow for make ups for any missed assignment. The reason for this is that you have ample time to complete each assignment. It is important to pay close attention to deadlines. I highly recommend using a calendar or print off a calendar and mark your assignments and due dates.

BIBLIOGRAPHY

- Boer, C.D., & Coady, N. (2007). Good helping relationships in child welfare: Learning from stories of success. *Child and Family Social Work*, 12, 32-42.
- Brill, C.K. (2001). Looking at the social work profession through the eye of the NASW code of ethics. *Research on Social Work Practice*, 11(2), 223-234.
- Crepeau-Hobson, M.R., Filaccio, M.L., & Gottfried, L. (2005). Violence prevention after Columbine: A survey of high school mental health professionals. *Children & Schools*, 27(3), 157-165.
- Fox, A., & Berrick, J.L. (2007). A response to no one ever asked us: A review of children's experiences in out-of-home care. *Child and Adolescent Social Work Journal*, 24(1), 23-51.
- Green, R. (2003). Social work in rural areas: A personal and professional challenge. *Australian Social Work*, 56(3), 209-219.
- Green, R., Gregory, R., & Mason, R. (2003). It's no picnic: Personal and family safety for rural social workers. *Australian Social Work*, 56(2), 94-106.
- Grote, N.K., Bledsoe, S.E., Larkin, J., Lemay, E.P., & Brown, C. (2007). Stress exposure and depression in disadvantaged women: The protective effects of optimism and perceived control. *Social Work Research*, 31(1), 19-33.
- Leukfelf, C.G., Godlaski, T., Clark, J., Brown, C., & Hays, L. (2002). Structured stories: Reinforcing social skills in rural substance abuse treatment. *Health & Social Work*, 27(3),

213-217.

- Mahoney, G., & Wiggers, B. (2007). The role of parents in early intervention: Implications for social work. *Children & Schools*, 29(1), 7-15.
- Mason, S. (2007). Custody planning with families affected by HIV. *Health & Social Work*, 32(2), 143-146.
- Messinger, L. (2004). Comprehensive community initiatives: A rural perspective. *Social Work*, 49(4), 535-546.
- Mitchell, W. (2007). Research review: The role of grandparents in intergenerational support for families with disabled children: A review of the literature. *Child and Family Social Work*, 12, 94-101.
- Moran, J.R., & Bussey, M. (2007). Results of an alcohol prevention program with urban American Indian youth. *Child and Adolescent Social Work Journal*, 24(1), 1-21.
- Nebbitt, V.E., Lombe, M., & Lindsey, M.A. (2007). Perceived parental behavior and peer affiliations among urban African American adolescents. *Social Work Research*, 31(3), 163-169.
- Rittner, B., Nakanishi, M., Nackerud, & Hammons, K. (1999). How MSW graduates apply what they learned about diversity to their work with small groups. *Journal of Social Work Education*, 35(3), 421-431.
- Rosenkoetter, S.E., Hains, A.H., & Dogaru, C. (2007). Successful transitions for young children with disabilities and their families: Roles of school social workers. *Children & Schools*, 29(1), 25-34.
- Slovak, K, Carlson, K., & Helm, L. (2007). The influence of family violence on youth attitudes. *Child and Adolescent Social Work Journal*, 24(1), 77-99.

- Strange, K.C., Miller, W.L., & McWhinney, I. (2001). Developing the knowledge base of family practice. *Family Medicine*, 33(4), 286-297.
- Teasley, M.L., Baffour, T.D., & Tyson, E.H. (2005). Perceptions of cultural competence among urban school social workers: Does experience make a difference? *Children & Schools*, 27(4), 227-237.

Wilson, K., Fyson, R., & Newstone, S. (2007). Foster fathers: Their experiences and contributions to fostering. *Child and Family Social Work*, 12, 22-31.