



CLASSROOM: eCollege Web-Based Course
MEETING TIME: See Course Schedule for Assignment due Dates and Live Session Times
NOTE ABOUT TIMES: All Times and Deadlines for this Course are Listed as Central Time Zone (Commerce, TX) times.

Instructor: Dr. Brandon Randolph-Seng
E-mail: brandon.randolph-seng@tamuc.edu
Office: CBE 304
Office Hours: Tuesday 9:00-11:00 a.m. and by Appointment
Phone: 903-468-8696

REQUIRED TEXT:

Northouse, P.E. Leadership: Theory and Practice (7th Ed.). Sage: Thousand Oaks, CA. (If you have a copy of the 6th edition it will also suffice).

Please note: A set of readings will be assigned for each class time (see below).

COURSE OVERVIEW AND EXPECTED LEARNING OUTCOMES:

This course provides an in-depth seminar emphasizing the development of leadership as a discipline. It focuses primarily on the evolution of leadership thought and the application of the various models and theories associated with the various perspectives on leader behavior and subsequent follower outcomes. Upon completion of this course, student should be able to:

- Demonstrate a grasp of the historical evolution of leadership thought
- Demonstrate knowledge of the theory, limitations, and application of the major perspectives of leader behavior with respect to leader/follower outcomes
- Create a contribution to the study of leadership by developing a leadership theory paper

METHODS FOR ASSESSING EXPECTED LEARNING OUTCOMES:

Participation, article components papers, proposal, paper drafts, and final paper.

GRADING

Component	Type	Value
Article Components Papers (10@ 30/each)	Individual	300 points (30%)
Proposal/Paper Drafts (3@ 100/each)	Team/Individual	300 points (30%)
Final Paper	Team/Individual	400 points (40%)
Course Total		1000 points

GRADING SCALE:

Points	Grade
895 - 1000	A
795 - 894	B
695 - 794	C

- Incomplete - Must be previously agreed upon by student and instructor and initiated by the student administratively.
- Withdrawal - Must be initiated by the student administratively.

COURSE ASSIGNMENTS

PLEASE NOTE: All written work should be thoroughly proofread for grammar, spelling, style, relevant content, and supportable logic. I expect graduate students to be proficient in writing and speaking in the English language. **Written work will be downgraded (i.e., automatic loss of 25% of the total points) when not produced in Standard English.**

All papers will automatically be submitted to Turnitin.com. Papers that receive higher than 15% on the similarity index will be reviewed for plagiarism. Using your own words and as few quotations as possible will help keep your score below 15%. Also, make sure you give credit to the authors by using the proper citations.

ARTICLE COMPONENTS PAPERS:

For EACH reading assigned (**excluding the textbook chapters; see schedule below**), the student should identify the following components and submit them on eCollege:

- a) Major theories/literature presented
- b) **For empirical papers ONLY** (where a Study with actual data is presented): Sample and sampling design; data collection methods; variables and measures;
- c) Major conclusions or point of the paper
- d) Major strengths and weaknesses of the paper
- e) Next logical step in building on the paper

For a detailed discussion of these research components and criteria, see:

Sekaran, U. 1999. *Research methods for business: A skill-building approach*, 3rd. ed. New York: Wiley.

PAPER PROPOSAL:

In order to get approval of the topic, students will be required to write a proposal paper in which the purpose and general logic of the final paper will be outlined. The proposal should be 5 pages, double spaced, times new roman, 1 in margins, APA style and include 5 representative references from the journal list posted on eCollege.

FINAL PAPER:

Students are required to write one THEORY paper in this course, **which will include two rough drafts**. This paper will involve an extensive and integrative literature review/critique of a relevant area of research. It should be initiated only with the instructor's approval of the chosen topic. The intent of this requirement is to produce a manuscript of publishable quality. For assessment see Appendix A. A final paper should be between 30-40 pages double spaced, times new roman, 1 in margins, APA style, **NOT** including title page, abstract, and references and have between 30-40 references from the journal list posted on eCollege. Some guidance for performing your literature reviews can be obtained from the following article:

Salipante, P., Notz, W., & Bigelow, J. 1982. A matrix approach to literature reviews. In B.M. Staw & L.L Cummings (Eds.), *Research in organizational behavior*, vol. 4: 321-348. Greenwich, CT: JAI Press.

CLASS ATTENDANCE & PARTICIPATION:

Attendance and participation is critical to gaining the most you possibly can from this course. I suggest that you log onto the eCollege site for this course **SEVERAL TIMES** a day. This is one way of “attending” the class. As mentioned earlier. I will also facilitate live sessions throughout the course. This is a great opportunity to communicate with me and your fellow students and to ask questions and gain clarification on any issues you may have. In order to get to the live session: Click the “Live” tab at the top of the eCollege course screen.

COURSE POLICIES

SYLLABUS SUBJECT TO CHANGE STATEMENT:

I anticipate that we will follow the schedule I've outlined in this syllabus, but I may make adjustments based on what actually happens in class. I may also change the basis for the course grade (if I need to eliminate an assignment or something of that nature). If I do so, I will so inform you in writing. Remaining in the course after reading this syllabus will signal that you accept the syllabus as written AND the possibility of changes and responsibility for being aware of them.

STATEMENT ON ACADEMIC INTEGRITY:

Academic honesty is the foundation of the university community. Cheating, plagiarism, or other acts of academic dishonesty compromise the integrity of the academic process and community and are subject to disciplinary action. For this class, plagiarism will result in automatic failure (final course grade of F).

SPECIAL NEEDS/REASONABLE ACCOMODATIONS:

The Americans with Disabilities Act (ADA) is a federal anti-discrimination statute that provides comprehensive civil rights protection for persons with disabilities. Among other things, this legislation requires that all students with disabilities be guaranteed a learning environment that provides for reasonable accommodation of their disabilities. If you have a disability requiring an accommodation, please contact:

Office of Student Disability Resources and Services
Texas A&M University-Commerce
Gee Library
Room 132
Phone (903) 886-5150 or (903) 886-5835
Fax (903) 468-8148
StudentDisabilityServices@tamu-commerce.edu

TENETS OF COMMON BEHAVIOR STATEMENT:

All students enrolled at the university shall follow the tenets of common decency and acceptable behavior conducive to a positive learning environment (See current Student Handbook).

Students are required to meet the expectations listed below.

- **Professional Behavior:** It is important that you maintain a professional demeanor at all times, including during “electronic communication”. Texas A&M-Commerce expects this from you, as do current and future employers.
- **Regular and Timely Attendance and Participation:** You are expected to log onto eCollege regularly and attend all live sessions.
- **Assignments:**
 1. **Submitted assignments must be correctly formatted and free of grammatical and stylistic errors.** Students in this course should have at least some skill with software for word processing, spreadsheets, databases, graphics, and presentations, and with web browsers and search engines. Spelling and grammatical errors will detract from your grade!
 2. **Assignments must be turned in on time.** Assignments are due at the **date** and **time** listed. While the syllabus designates specific dates for which work is assigned, you do not have to wait until the “assigned” date to start working on it. Start working on each assignment as soon as you possibly can and make sure that you have all assignments submitted by the specified due dates. However, you **MUST** turn in all written assignments **ON TIME**. Unexcused late work will receive an automatic **50% penalty** if turned in by the next

day, and a **0** if turned in more than one day late. Late work is viewed as very unprofessional in the corporate world: "Sorry Mr./Ms. Vice President, I just did not get the work done in time for our meeting today." That will be the last time your manager gives you the opportunity to "shine" in front of a VP.

3. Assignments must be complete. You must complete and submit all components at the specified due date and time to receive credit for the assignment. Please don't turn in work that is only "half-finished" or you will receive an automatic 0.

4. Please submit assignments in a format that is compatible with Microsoft Word.

- **Back-ups Are Required:** You are required to back up all your assignments so that they can be submitted to me upon my request. If work is lost due to insufficient back-up, you will not have the opportunity to recreate and submit at a later time.
- **E-mail:** Students must routinely check e-mail sent to his or her **Texas A&M-Commerce account**. This is my primary mechanism for communicating to the class. I check my e-mail several times a day, so this is the best way to reach me.
- **Make-up Assignments Will Only Be Accepted If You Obtain University Approved Documentation for Your Excuse:** There are no make-up assignments for poor performance on a previous assignment.

IF YOU EVER FEEL AS IF YOU NEED TO MEET WITH ME OR SPEAK OVER THE PHONE IN ORDER TO CLARIFY ASSIGNMENTS, DISCUSS CONCERNS ABOUT THE CLASS, DISCUSS TOPICS FROM THE CLASS, ETC., E-MAIL ME IN ORDER TO SET UP AN APPOINTMENT.

FINALLY: This syllabus is a contract between you and me. If you disagree with the policies set forth in this syllabus, you have the right to withdraw within the timeframe indicated in the University calendar. By staying enrolled in this class, you agree to adhere to all policies stated in this syllabus.

TENTATIVE SCHEDULE

Week of: **Topic & Readings/Assignments**

WK 1 (1/19): INTRODUCTION: THE NATURE OF LEADERSHIP

- 1) Northhouse: Chapter 1

- 2) House, R. J. & Aditya, R. N. 1997. The social scientific study of leadership: Quo vadis? *Journal of Management*, 23, 409-473.

- 3) Gardner, W. L., Lowe, K. B., Moss, T. W., Mahoney, K. T., & Cogliser, C. C. 2010. Scholarly leadership of the study of leadership: A review of *The Leadership Quarterly's* second decade, 2000-2009. *The Leadership Quarterly*, 21, 922-958.

- 4) Avolio, B. J., Walumbwa, F. O., & Weber, T. J. 2009. Leadership: Current theories, research, and future directions. *Annual Review of Psychology*, 40, 421-449.

- 5) Dinh, J. E., Lord, R. G., Gardner, W. L., Meuser, J. D., Liden, R. C., Hu, J. in press. Leadership theory and research in the new millennium: Current theoretical trends and changing perspectives. *The Leadership Quarterly*.

Live Session 1: 1/21 @ 6 PM Central!!

WK 2 (1/25): LEADERSHIP MEASUREMENT

- 1) Brown, D. J., & Lord, R. G. 1999. The utility of experimental research in the study of transformational and charismatic leadership. *The Leadership Quarterly*, 16, 245-272.

- 2) Bryman, A. 2004. Qualitative research on leadership: A critical but appreciative view. *The Leadership Quarterly*, 15, 729-769.

- 3) Fleener, J. W., Smither, J. W., Atwater, L. E., Braddy, P. W., & Sturm, R. E. 2010. Self-other rating agreement in leadership: A review. *The Leadership Quarterly*, 21, 1005-1034.

- 4) Graen, G., Rowold, J., & Heinritz, K. 2010. Issues in operationalizing and comparing leadership constructs. *The Leadership Quarterly*, 21, 563-575.

- 5) Scherbaum, C. A., Finlinson, S., Barden, K., & Tamanini, K. 2006. Applications of item response theory to measurement issues in leadership research. *The Leadership Quarterly*, 17, 366-386.

WK 3 (2/1): MANAGERIAL TRAITS AND SKILLS

- 1) Northhouse: Chapters 2 & 3

- 2) Judge, T. A., Bono, J. E., Ilies, R., & Gerhardt, M. W. 2002. Personality and leadership: A qualitative and quantitative review. *Journal of Applied Psychology*, 87, 765-780.

- 3) Kipnis, D., & Lane, W. P. 1962. Self-confidence and leadership. *Journal of Applied Psychology*, 46, 291-295.

- 4) Kirkpatrick, S. A., & Locke, E. A. 1991. Leadership: Do traits matter? *Academy of Management Executive*, 5, 48-60.

- 5) Rubin, R. S., Munz, D. C., & Bommer, W. H. 2005. Leading from within: The effects of emotion recognition and personality on transformational leadership behavior. *Academy of Management Journal*, 48, 845-858.

- 6) Warech, M. A., Smither, J. W., Reilly, R. R., Millsap, R. E., & Reilly, S. P. 1998. Self-monitoring and 360-degree ratings. *The Leadership Quarterly*, 9, 449-473

WK 4 (2/8): PERSPECTIVES ON EFFECTIVE LEADER BEHAVIOR

- 1) Northouse: Chapter 4
- 2) Blake, R. R., & Mouton, J. S. 1982. Management by grid principles or situationalism: Which? *Group and Organization Studies*, 7, 207-210.
- 3) Fleishman, E. A., Mumford, M. D., Zaccaro, S. J., Levin, K. Y., Korotkin, A. L., & Hein, M. B. 1991. Taxonomic efforts in the description of leader behavior: A synthesis and functional interpretation. *The Leadership Quarterly*, 2, 245-287.
- 4) Judge, T. A., Piccolo, R. F., & Ilies, R. 2004. The forgotten ones? The validity of consideration and initiating structure in leadership research. *Journal of Applied Psychology*, 89, 755-768.
- 5) Schriesheim, C. A., Cogliser, C. C., & Neider, L. L. 1995. Is it "trustworthy?": A multiple-levels-of-analysis reexamination of an Ohio state leadership study, with implications for future research. Special Issue: Leadership: The multiple-level approaches (Part I). *The Leadership Quarterly*, 6, 111-145.
- 6) Yukl, G., Gordon, A., Taber, T. 2002. A hierarchical taxonomy of leadership behavior: Integrating a half century of behavior research. *Journal of Leadership and Organization Studies*, 9, 68-82.

WK 5 (2/15): EARLY CONTINGENCY THEORIES OF EFFECTIVE LEADERSHIP

- 1) Northouse: Chapters 5, 6 & 7
- 2) Evans, M. G. 1996. R. J. House's "A path-goal theory of leader effectiveness." *The Leadership Quarterly*, 7(3), 305-309.
- 3) Field, R. H. G. 1979. A critique of the Vroom-Yetton contingency model of leadership behavior. *Academy of Management Review*, 4, 249-257.
- 4) Graeff, C. L. 1997. Evolution of situational leadership theory: A critical review. *The Leadership Quarterly*, 8(2), 153-170.
- 5) Howell, J. P. 1997. "Substitutes for leadership: Their meaning and measurement"--An historical assessment. *Leadership Quarterly*, 8, 113-116.
- 6) Jermier, J. M., & Kerr, S. 1997. "Substitutes for leadership: Their meaning and measurement" -- Contextual recollections and observations. *Leadership Quarterly*, 8, 95-101.
- 7) Kerr, S., & Jermier, J. R. 1978. Substitutes for leadership: Their meaning and measurement. *Organizational Behavior and Human Performance*, 22, 375-403.

WK 6 (2/22): DYADIC RELATIONS & FOLLOWERSHIP

- 1) Northouse: Chapter 8
- 2) Liden, R. C., Sparrowe, R. T., & Wayne, S. J. 1997. Leader-member exchange theory: The past and potential for the future. *Research in Personnel and Human Resource Management*, 15, 47-119.

- 3) Schriesheim, C. A., Castro, S. L., Zhou, X., & Yammarino, F. J. 2002. The folly of theorizing "A" but testing "B": A selective level-of-analysis review of the field and a detailed Leader-Member Exchange illustration. *The Leadership Quarterly*, 12, 515-551.
- 4) Tee, E. Y. J., Paulsen, N., & Ashkanasy, N. M. 2013. Revisiting followership through a social identity perspective: The role of collective follower emotion and action. *The Leadership Quarterly*, 24: 902-918.
- 5) Oc, B., & Bashshur, M. R. 2013. Followership, leadership and social influence. *The Leadership Quarterly*, 24, 919-934.

****Paper Proposal Due**

WK 7 (2/29): ATTRIBUTIONS AND LEADERSHIP

- 1) Whiteley, P., Sy, T., & Johnson, S. K. 2012. Leaders' conceptions of followers: Implications for naturally occurring Pygmalion effects. *The Leadership Quarterly*, 23, 822-834.
- 2) Martinko, M. J., Harvey, P., & Douglas, S. C. 2007. The role, function, and contribution of attribution theory to leadership: A review. *The Leadership Quarterly*, 18, 561-585.
- 3) Schyns, B., & Hansbrough, T. 2008. Why the brewery ran out of beer: The attribution of mistakes in a leadership context. *Social Psychology*, 39, 197-203.
- 4) Offermann, L. R., Kennedy, J. K., & Wirtz, P. W. 1994. Implicit leadership theories: Content, structure, and generalizability. *The Leadership Quarterly*, 5, 43-58.
- 5) Epitropaki, O., Sy, T., Martin, R., Tram-Quon, S., Topakas, A. 2013. Implicit Leadership and Followership Theories "in the wild": Taking stock of information-processing approaches to leadership and followership in organizational settings. *The Leadership Quarterly*, 24: 858-881.

WK 8 (3/7): CHARISMATIC AND TRANSFORMATIONAL LEADERSHIP

- 1) Northhouse: Chapter 9
- 2) Yukl, G. 1999. An evaluation of the conceptual weaknesses in transformational and charismatic leadership theories. *The Leadership Quarterly*, 10, 285-305.
- 3) Bass, B. M., & Steidlmeier, P. 1999. Ethics, character, and authentic transformational leadership behavior. *The Leadership Quarterly*, 10, 181-217.
- 4) Brown, D. J., & Lord, R. G. 1999. The utility of experimental research in the study of transformational/charismatic leadership. *The Leadership Quarterly*, 10, 531-539.
- 5) Bailey, J., & Axelrod, R. H. 2001. Leadership lessons from Mount Rushmore: An interview with James McGregor Burns. *The Leadership Quarterly*, 12, 113-127.
- 6) Bass, B. M. 1993. A seminal shift: The impact of James Burns' *Leadership*. *The Leadership Quarterly*, 4, 375-377.

WK 9 (3/21): ETHICAL, SERVANT, AND SPIRITUAL LEADERSHIP

- 1) Northhouses: Chapter 10 & 16
- 2) Howell, J.M., & Avolio, B.J. 1992. The ethics of charismatic leadership: Submission or liberation? *Academy of Management Executive*, 6(2): 43-54.

- 3) Brown, M. E., & Trevino, L. K. 2006. Ethical leadership: A review and future directions. *The Leadership Quarterly*, 17, 595-616.
- 4) Fry, L. W., Vittuci, S., & Cedillo, M. 2005. Spiritual leadership and army transformation: Theory, measurement, and establishing a baseline. *The Leadership Quarterly*, 11, 251-266.
- 5) Smith, B. N., Montagno, R. V., & Kuzmenko, T. N. 2004. Transformational and servant leadership: Content and contextual comparisons. *Journal of Leadership and Organizational Studies*, 10, 80-91.

****First Draft of Paper Due**

WK 10 (3/28): AUTHENTIC LEADERSHIP

- 1) Northhouses: Chapter 11
- 2) Walumbwa, F. O., Avolio, B. J., Gardner, W. L., Wernsing, T. S., & Peterson, S. J. 2008. Authentic leadership development and validation of a theory-based measure. *Journal of Management*, 34: 89-126.
- 3) Hannah, S. T., Walumbwa, F. O., & Fry, L. W. 2011. Leadership in action teams: Team leader and members' authenticity, authenticity strength, and team outcomes. *Personnel Psychology*, 64: 771-802.
- 4) Humphreys, J.H., Pane-Haden, S., Clayton, R., Novicevic, M. M., & Gibson, J. W. (2011). Lillian McMurry of Trumpet Records: Integrity and authenticity in the charismatic, constructive narcissist leader. *Journal of Leadership & Organizational Studies*, 18: 40-55.
- 5) Randolph-Seng, B., & Gardner, W. L. 2013. Validating measures of leader authenticity: Relations between implicit/explicit self-esteem, situational cues, and leader authenticity. *Journal of Leadership and Organizational Studies*, 20: 212-229.

WK 11 (4/4): GENDER, DIVERSITY, AND CROSS-CULTURAL LEADERSHIP

- 1) Northhouse: Chapters 14 & 15
- 2) Kolb, J. A. 1999. The effect of gender role, attitude toward leadership, and self-confidence on leader emergence: Implications for leadership development. *Human Resource Development Quarterly*, 10, 305-320.
- 3) Scott, K. A., & Brown, D. J. 2006. Female first, leader second? Gender bias in the encoding of leadership behavior. *Organizational Behavior and Human Decision Processes*, 101, 230-242.
- 4) Vecchio, R. P. 2002. Leadership and gender advantage. *The Leadership Quarterly*, 13, 643-671.
- 5) Eagly, A. H., Carli, L. L. 2003. Finding gender advantage and disadvantage: Systematic research integration is the solution. *The Leadership Quarterly*, 14, 851-859.
- 6) Bullough, A., Galen, K. K., Newburry, W., Kundu, S. K., Lowe, K. B. 2012. Women's political leadership participation around the world: An institutional analysis. *Leadership Quarterly*, 23: 398-411.

WK 12 (4/11):Second Draft of Paper Due**

WK 13 (4/18): Work on paper

Live Session 2: 4/21 @ 6 PM Central!

WK 14 (4/25): Work on paper

5/9: Final Paper Due by 11:59 PM on eCollege

Appendix A:
Theory Paper Analysis Assessment Rubric

	Far Exceeds Standards	Exceeds Standards	Meets Standards	Fails to Meet Standards
Completeness of review (60%)	Superior completeness; student's review of the literature is extraordinarily thorough (60-49)	Complete; student's review of the literature covers most all seminal articles (48-43)	Mostly complete but with gaps in some areas; student's review is missing some key works (42-37)	Incomplete in most respects (36-30)
Focus on leader/follower outcomes (20%)	Student's review is almost exclusively focused on how the leadership theory/model impacts critical leader/follower outcomes (20-17)	Student's review is largely focused on how the leadership theory/model impacts critical leader/follower outcomes (16-15)	Student's review is somewhat focused on how the leadership theory/model impacts critical leader/follower outcomes (14-13)	Student's review largely ignores how the leadership theory/model impacts critical leader/follower outcomes (12-10)
Writing quality (20%)	Writes extraordinarily clearly and insightfully (20-17)	Writes clearly and effectively (16-15)	Writing has content but is unfocused (14-13)	Fails to communicate in an adequate manner (12-10)

Scoring Key:

- _____ Far Exceeds Standards = 90 – 100
- _____ Exceeds Standards = 80 – 89
- _____ Meets Standards = 70 - 79
- _____ Fails to Meet Standards = < 70