

SCHOOL OF SOCIAL WORK

SWK 511: Human Behavior in the Social Environment I (4:30pm-7:10opm; MPLX) (This is a tentative syllabus)

INSTRUCTOR: OFFICE: OFFICE HOURS:	Gracie Brownell, PhD., LMSW Hen 323B Tuesday 9:30am-12:00pm; 2:30pm-4:30 pm; Thursday 9:30am- 12pm T 7:20-10pm (by appt.)
OFFICE PHONE:	(903)
E-MAIL:	Gracie.Brownell@tamuc.edu

COURSE DESCRIPTION

This foundation course in Human Behavior in the Social Environment is designed to provide foundation students with an understanding of the bio-physical, psychological, and behavioral aspects of human development from before birth through adolescence. Content in this first course covers interactions between individuals, families, and their relationship to the social environment. Systems theory is the underlying context use to underpin all other major theories of individual and family development. Life stages will be considered in diverse frames of reference, e.g. different cultures/ethnicity/races, gender and sexual orientation, poverty, and physical or mental disabilities. In addition to theory, practical skills in parenting, communications, self-esteem and relationship building will be explored. As you know, adults learn differently than children and do not respond well to lecture-only formats, note learning, or memorization. For that reason, this class will incorporate various learning venues to include group discussion and activities, outside speakers (when available) and audio-visual presentations as much as possible to supplement course assignments and readings.

GOALS & COMPETENCIES:

F 1. Prepare MSW graduates for professional advanced generalist practice that reflects application and integration of critical thinking, theoretical frameworks, and differential interventions. Students will be able to reflect the following competencies:

F 1.1 Demonstrate critical thinking and effective communication (2.1.3)

F 1.3 Demonstrate knowledge of HBSE and its application to practice (2.1.7)

F 2. Enable MSW graduates to apply ethical reasoning to advance equality, justice, and social change. Students will be able to reflect the following competencies:

- F 2.2 Apply social work ethics & principles (2.1.2)
- F 2.3 Engage diversity in practice (2.1.4)
- F 2.4 Promote human rights and social and economic justice (2.1.5)

F 3. Promote leadership and service that is relevant to individual contexts of practice and enhances well-being and quality of life. Students will be able to reflect the following competencies:

F 3.1 Engage as professional social workers (2.1.1)

COURSE OBJECTIVES:

This course is designed to provide first semester foundation students with an understanding of the biophysical, psychological and social systems aspects of human development. Content in this course in human behavior covers interactions between individuals and their environments and between families and their environments. It covers the lifespan from conception through adolescence. Systems theory is the underlying context used to underpin all other major theories of individual and family development. In addition, the course addresses issues of diversity including ethnocentrism, racism and physical/intellectual ability.

STUDENT LEARNING OUTCOMES:

- 1. Students will demonstrate in writing and through class participation the formulation of an ecological frame of reference for describing human behavior which is based on foundation of systems theory.
- 2. Students will demonstrate through objective evaluation (exams) basic knowledge of the ecological factors stemming from biological, psychological, and sociological origins that affect the functioning of individuals, families, groups, organization and communities;
- 3. Students will demonstrate through objective evaluation and class participation the ability to synthesize information regarding specific human diversity factors (i.e. memberships in racial and ethnic groups, gender issues, and sexual orientation) which have influence from infancy through adolescence and can affect an individuals' ability to cope with difficulties or changes in his/her environment.
- 4. Students will demonstrate through class participation, written assignments and objective evaluation an understanding of the relationship between individual growth and development and the larger systems in which it occurs, particularly families, groups, neighborhoods and communities.
- 5. Students will explain multi-determinants of social functioning including biological, psychological, spiritual, and socio-cultural factors that contribute to the make-up of the whole person.

SWK 511: Human Behavior in the Social Environment I

RELATIONSHIP TO OTHER COURSES:

This course is an integral part of the foundation sequence in the program. It is the first exposure to systems theory, which is essential to an understanding of the generalist and advanced generalist courses, as well as field practicum courses.

TEXTS:

Zastrow, C.H. & Kirst-Ashman, K.K. (2007). Understanding human behavior and the social environment. 9th ed. Belmont CA: Brooks/Cole-Thomas Learning.

Additional articles and handouts will be given throughout the semester to enhance the students' knowledge base.

GRADING :

Grading will be done on a contractual, graduated grading scale. Each student will have the opportunity to map out his/her destination by opting for a tract leading to an A or B. The student is to notify the instructor by the third class week of his/her decision.

Α	В
Participation Activities	Participation Activities
Adolescent/Family	Adolescent/Family
Interview	Interview
Presentation of Interview	Presentation of Interview
Mid-Term Exam	Mid-Term Exam
Final Exam	Final Exam
Article Review	Article Review
Research Paper	
Total Possible Points	Total Possible Points
550	450
550 to $495 = A$	450 to 405 = B
494 to 440 = B	404 to 360 = C
439 to 385 = C	

EXAMINATIONS:

All students are expected to take two examinations: a midterm and final examination. Exam dates are noted on the syllabus Course Outline. The midterm will cover the information from readings, lectures and class discussion prior to the test date. The Final exam will cover the materials in the second half of the course. *Each exam is worth 100 points*.

OVERVIEW OF ASSIGNMENTS:

CLASS PARTICIPATION (50 points)

Over the course of the semester there will be five class activities or opportunities for participation. Each activity will be worth 10 points. A student will receive full credit for active participation and Updated version 12.12

contributing to the subject. (these are essentially free points simply for being involved in class).

ADOLESCENT/FAMILY INTERVIEW (100 points)

Interview an adolescent (obtaining proper consent/assent) and explore with him/her the concerns/issues facing teenagers today. Incorporate, as appropriate, any possible impact on culture, ethnicity, race, sexual orientation, physical/cognitive/developmental factors, socio-economic status or other aspects of the human condition which impinge on the person's worldview.

Or

Choose from the following family types and meet with family members. If you would prefer to look at an alternative family constellation please review with the instructor.

- A. Nuclear family with a child who is severely physically or mentally challenged.
- B. Native American extended family, including lateral kin and elders.
- C. Single parent or blended family
- D. Lesbian or gay partner's family, including children of at least one partner
- E. Interracial family

Write an 8 to12 page paper regarding your interview with 7 to 8 peer reviewed journal references.

CLASS PRESENTATION (50 points)

Each student will make a 15-20 minute presentation to the class of the results of his/her interview. All presentations require a bibliography to be given to class members.

ARTICLE REVIEW (50 points)

Instructions to be provided later.

Research Paper (100 points):

A 10-15 page paper to be written utilizing an ecological perspective for analyzing the potential effect of a life event on a child or adolescent during one of Erikson's, stages of development. References required are 8-10 peer reviewed journal articles, you can use no more than 2 electronic references. The purpose of this paper is to add to the student's understanding of how Erikson theory and ecological perspective applies to an in-depth analysis of a client. Also it is intended to add to the students' knowledge in assessing the impact of the micro, mezzo and macro levels on client functioning or potential functioning. The text should be focused on the following issues:

- □ Characteristics of the client (e.g. memberships in a racial group, ethnicity, gender age etc).
- □ Describe a major event in a client's life.
- \Box Select and identify the issues of the stage when the major event occurred.
- □ What will happen if this stage is traumatized? Describe the consequence of pathology for that stage in general terms, and secondly, illustrate how you subject will demonstrate this pathology.
- □ How will the trauma event ripple through subsequent stages? While this is speculation, use the subsequent conflicts as described by Erikson to defend your speculation. Also, discuss the relationship of this event and its potential to produce social and economic oppression as well as other continuing risk factors for the individual.

□ Finally, discuss any specific cultural issues and how these may have impacted the client.

Examples

- □ A five year old African-American child looses a parent in an automobile crash
- \Box A thirteen year old witnesses a school shooting at his/her middle school

**** If you would like to do a research paper utilizing a different approach or subject, review with the instructor. Once you have chosen your topic inform the instructor of your subject.

GUIDELINES FOR ALL WRITTEN WORK

Written work for this class must be in <u>APA style using 12-point font</u>. Grading criteria for written work includes: thoroughness, logical development of points, clarity of written expression, application of scholarly research and appropriateness of information presented as it relates to topic chosen (See Rubrics for Grading Expectations). This is a graduate level course and expectations are considerably higher than those at an undergraduate level. It is important to demonstrate your ability to do research at the library, to critically analyze various positions, comparing and contrasting strengths and weaknesses, and presenting facts in a manner persuading the reader to his/her own conclusions. Citations from course texts, the internet (unless accessing an on-line journal or government document), popular magazines or handouts given by the instructor do not represent scholarly research and should be used sparingly.

CLASS ATTENDANCE AND PARTICIPATION:

Students are expected to attend class, reflecting responsibility which is inherent in the development as a social work professional. Roll will be taken regularly. Students are expected to be on time and prepared to participate when class begins as well as be present throughout the entire class meeting. Classroom exercises, discussions, role plays, guest speakers and other in-class experiential exercises are essential for a student's professional learning and continued development of self-awareness. Tardiness (or early departure) of more than 15 minutes will count as .5 absence (2 tardies/early departures = 1 absence). A student is considered absent if he/she arrives more than 30 minutes late to class, leaves 30 or more minutes early or does not come to class.

The following penalties for absences (unexcused, or excused, according to university policy) will be administered:

Weekly	Up to 2	3 absences	4 absences	
	absences No	1 letter grade	Class grade of "F"	
	penalty	drop		
Bi-weekly	Up to 3 absences	4 absences	5 absences	6 absences
	No penalty	1 letter grade drop	1 letter grade drop	Class grade of "F"
Summer	Up to 1 absence	2 absences	3 absences	
10-week	No penalty	1 letter grade drop	Class grade of "F"	

ONLINE OR WEB-ENHANCED CLASSES: Just as students are required to attend face-to-face classes, students are required to log in and participate in online components. To receive credit for

attendance online via eCollege, students must log in and complete assignments in a timely manner. Not logging in to eCollege (which can be monitored by the instructor) and completing assignments online during the appropriate time is the equivalent of an absence for each week this occurs.

Final evaluation and grades depend on both presence and participation. Students' grades will be significantly impacted by inadequate participation or lack of required time commitment each week. Students are expected to spend a comparable amount of time in the online learning environment as they would in class (3 hours a week in the classroom). In addition, just as in traditional F2F classrooms, students are expected to spend time reading and studying class materials.

NOTE: PROBLEMS WITH YOUR INTERNET CONNECTION AND/OR COMPUTER ARE NOT CONSIDERED AS REASONS FOR LACK OF PARTICIPATION. You have access to the university's computer labs (in the social work department AND other campus facilities, including the library) as well as local libraries and other access to computers and ISPs. If you believe that you are unable to fulfill the requirements for the course you should talk with your instructor about the possibility of dropping or withdrawing.

Class participation has three components: (1) Appropriate interactions with classmates, (2) Attentiveness, and (3) Active involvement in class activities. Evaluation of class participation is based on instructor observation. Students will be given feedback if problems are evident.

POLICY ON DUE DATES:

Promptness in completing assigned tasks and readings is a requirement of this course. ASSIGNMENTS SUBMITTED AFTER THE BEGINNING OF THE CLASS PERIOD ON WHICH THEY ARE DUE WILL AUTOMATICALLY HAVE 5 POINTS DEDUCTED FROM THE GRADE. AN ADDITIONAL POINT PER DAY WILL BE DEDUCTED FOR EACH DAY THEREAFTER. Assignments will NOT be accepted more than one week after the assigned due date and a "0" will be given for the grade. If students do not turn in all required assignments, they will be ineligible for any grade higher than a "C".

POLICY ON PLAGIARISM AND CHEATING:

Every student is expected to do his/her own work. Law, ethical standards, university policy, and departmental policy demand that students refrain from plagiarism and any form of cheating. Plagiarism is the "Act of appropriating the literacy composition of another, or parts of passages from of his [or her] writings, or the ideas or language of the same, and passing them off as the products of one's own mind." (Black's Law Dictionary, Abridged Fifth Edition, 1983). When using others' words, phrases, or ideas in writing, the original author should be given proper credit.

Cheating may take different forms. These include, but are not limited to, copying others' answers during an exam, using notes or other forms of help during an examination or quiz, except when explicitly permitted by the instructor, giving or receiving help on exams or assignments, or submitting work for one class which has already been submitted for another class for credit. Use of citations from the Internet without paraphrasing content AND proper referencing is regarded as

plagiarism. Professors have the right to use electronic review programs (such as Turn It In") to identify plagiarism.

The department does not tolerate plagiarism or cheating. A student found to be engaging in such illegal and unethical conduct may receive a failing grade in the course and may be subjected to further disciplinary proceedings. Any assignment or exam that lacks honesty will be given a grade of "0".

ACCEPTABLE CLASSROOM BEHAVIOR:

"Students at Texas A&M University-Commerce are expected to obey all federal, state, and local laws, in addition to the regulations of the University. The standards of Student Conduct including disciplinary rules and procedures are designed to provide and conform to the basic tenets of due process, as developed by institutions of higher education. As such, the University distinguishes these procedures and regulations as an educational and growth process which is not intended to conform to adversary proceedings as in a court of law. (Student's Guide Book, 2011, p. 35).

CODE OF CONDUCT FOR SOCIAL WORK STUDENTS

The Department of Social Work expects all social work students to conduct themselves in an ethical, professional manner. Professional ethics are at the core of social work. The profession articulates its basic values, ethical principles, and ethical standards as set forth in the *NASW Code of Ethics* to guide social workers' conduct. The *Code* is relevant to all social workers and social work students, regardless of their professional functions, the settings in which they work, or the populations they serve. Accordingly, we expect social work students to demonstrate courtesy, respect and support for fellow students, instructors, clients, and all other persons.

All students enrolled in BSW or MSW classes are expected to observe the tenets of the NASW Code of Ethics and the Social Work Student Code of Conduct. Our Code of Conduct is reflective of professional and academic expectations – a student who cannot demonstrate appropriate behaviors will not be appropriate for practice in the social work profession. Students who violate these Codes may be asked to meet with appropriate Social Work faculty (instructors or Program Directors). In addition, the department's Academic and Professional Issues (API) Committee is responsible for dealing with student issues when requested by faculty.

STUDENTS WITH DISABILITIES

It is the policy of Texas A&M University-Commerce and the Social Work Department to do everything we can to accommodate students with disabilities, pursuant to federal and state law, and the University's commitment to providing equal opportunities. Any student with a disability who needs accommodation, for example, in accessibility, seating placement or in arrangements for examinations should not hesitate to inform the instructor. If required, large type, Braille or cassette recordings of syllabus or assignments can be provided.

SWK 511: Human Behavior in the Social Environment I

Students with conditions that require special attention or accommodation should contact the Director of Disability Resources & Services at 903-468-5150 (located in the Library, Room 132).

COURSE SCHEDULE

Reading(s)	Assignment/Activities	Link to Comp.	SLO
Review Syllabus, Class			
Introductions, etc.			
Chapter 1: Introduction to	In-class Activity	2.1.1, 2.1.2,	1-5
Human Behavior and the Social		2.1.3, 2.1.4,	
Environment		2.1.5, 2.1.6,	
		2.1.7, 2.1.8,	
		2.1.9, 2.1.10	
Chapter 2: Biological	In-class Activity	2.1.1, 2.1.2,	1-5
Development in Infancy &		2.1.5, 2.1.7,	
Childhood		2.1.10	
Chapter 3: Psychological	In-class Activity	2.1.1, 2.1.2,	1-5
Development in Infancy &		2.1.3, 2.1.4,	
Childhood		2.1.7, 2.1.9,	
		2.1.10	
Chapter 4: Social Development		2.1.1, 2.1.2,	1-5
in Infancy & Childhood		2.1.3, 2.1.4,	
		2.1.5, 2.1.6,	
		2.1.7, 2.1.10	
Continuation/Review—Infancy	Article Review Due		
& Childhood			
Chapter 5: Ethnocentrism &	Mid-Term Review	2.1.2, 2.1.4,	1-5
Racism		2.1.5, 2.1.7,	
		2.1.10	
Continuation/Review—	Mid-Term Exam		
Ethnocentrism & Racism			
Chapter 6: Biological	In-class Activity	2.1.1, 2.1.2, 2.1.3,	1-5
Development in Adolescence		2.1.5, 2.1.6, 2.1.7,	
	Review Syllabus, Class Introductions, etc. Chapter 1: Introduction to Human Behavior and the Social Environment Chapter 2: Biological Development in Infancy & Childhood Chapter 3: Psychological Development in Infancy & Childhood Chapter 4: Social Development in Infancy & Childhood Continuation/Review—Infancy & Childhood Continuation/Review—Infancy & Childhood Chapter 5: Ethnocentrism & Racism Continuation/Review— Ethnocentrism & Racism Chapter 6: Biological	Review Syllabus, Class Introductions, etc.In-class ActivityChapter 1: Introduction to Human Behavior and the Social EnvironmentIn-class ActivityChapter 2: Biological Development in Infancy & ChildhoodIn-class ActivityChapter 3: Psychological Development in Infancy & ChildhoodIn-class ActivityChapter 4: Social Development in Infancy & ChildhoodIn-class ActivityContinuation/Review—Infancy & ChildhoodArticle Review DueChapter 5: Ethnocentrism & RacismMid-Term ReviewContinuation/Review— Ethnocentrism & RacismMid-Term ExamChapter 6: BiologicalIn-class Activity	Review Syllabus, Class Introductions, etc.In-class Activity2.1.1, 2.1.2, 2.1.3, 2.1.4, 2.1.3, 2.1.4,

SWK 511: Human Behavior in the Social Environment I

10/21			2.1.8, 2.1.9 2.1.10	
10	Chapter 7: Psychological	In-class Activity	2.1.1, 2.1.2,	1-5
10/28	Development in Adolescence		2.1.3, 2.1.4,	
10/20			2.1.5, 2.1.6,	
			2.1.7, 2.1.8,	
			2.1.9, 2.1.10	
11	Chapter 8: Social Development	Adolescent/Family Interview	2.1.2, 2.1.7	1-5
11/4	in Adolescence	Due		
12	Chapter 9: Gender, Gender	Presentations	2.1.1, 2.1.2,	1-5
11/11	Identity, Gender Expression,		2.1.3, 2.1.4,	
11/11	and Sexism		2.1.5, 2.1.7,	
			2.1.8, 2.1.10	
13	Continuation/Review—	Research Paper Due		
11/18	Adolescence	Presentations		
14	Wrap-up/Review			
11/25				
15		Final Exam—Online		
12/2				

BIBLIOGRAPHY:

REFERENCE MATERIAL

- American Psychological Association. (2002). *Publication Manual of the American Psychological Association*. 5th.ed. Washington DC:
- Szuchman, L.T. and Thomlison, B. (2004). *Writing with Style: APA style for Social Work*. 2nd.ed. Belmont CA: Brooks/Cole

PRINCIPLES AND THEORIES OF DEVELOPMENT

- Bandura, A., & Walters, R. H. (1963). *Social learning and personality development*. New York: Holt, Rinehart and Winston.
- Bee, H., & Mitchell, S. (1980). *The developing person: A life-span approach*. New York: Harper and Row Publishers.

Erikson, E. H. (1964). Childhood and society. New York: Norton.

- Freud, S. (1949). The basic writings of Sigmund Freud. New York: Norton.
- Gesell, A., & Amatrude, C. (1964). Developmental diagnosis. New York: Harper.

Pavlov, I. P. (1927). Conditioned reflexes. London: Oxford University Press.

Piaget, J. (1954). The construction of reality in the child. New York: Basic Books.

Skinner, B. F. (1953). Science and human behavior. New York: Free Press.

INFANT DEVELOPMENT

Bates, E. (1975). Language and context. New York: Academic Press.

- Biggs, J. L., & O'Connell, P.A. (1976). *Teaching individuals with physical and multiple disabilities*. Columbus, OH: Charles Merrill Publishing Co.
- Bronfenbrenner, U. (1975). Is early intervention effective? In B. Friedlander, G. Sterritt,
 ~ G. Kirk (Eds.), *Exceptional infant: Assessment and intervention* (Vol. 3, pp. 449-475). New York: Brunner/Mazel.
- Bromwich, R. (1981). *Working with parents and infants, an interactional approach.* Baltimore, MD: University Park Press.

Chomsky, N. (1967). The formal nature of language. In E. Lenneberg (Ed.), *Biological foundations of language* (pp. 397-443). New York: John Wiley & Sons.

- Denhoff, E. (1981). Current status of infant stimulation or enrichment programs for children with developmental disabilities. *Pediatrics*, 67, 32-37.
- Goldbert, G. S., & Lewis, M. (1969). Play behavior in the year old infant. *Child Development*, <u>401</u>, 21-31.
- Gordon, I. J. (1971). *A home learning center approach to early stimulation*. Gainesville, FL: Institute for Development of Human Resources.
- Green, K. (1985). *Seizures*. Omaha, NE: Meyer Children's Rehabilitation Institute, University of Nebraska Medical Center.
- Piaget, J. (1954). The construction of reality in the child. New York: Basic Books.
- Piaget, J. (1962). *Play, dreams and imitation in childhood*. New York: W. W. Norton & Co.
- Skinner, B. F. (1957). Verbal behavior. New York: Appleton-CenturyCrofts.
- Wolfensberger, W. (1967). Counseling the parents of the retarded. In A. Baumeister

(Ed.), *Mental retardation; appraisal, education and rehabilitation* (pp. 329-378). Chicago: Aldine Publishing Company.

EARLY CHILDHOOD YEARS

- Allen K. E. (1981). Curriculum models for successful mainstreaming. A. Mori (Ed.), *Topics in Early Childhood Special Education*, 45-56.
- Appolloni, T., & Cooke, T. P. (1978). Integrated programming of the infant, toddler and preschool levels. In I.M. Guralnick (Ed.). *Early intervention and the integration of handicapped and nonhandicapped children*. Baltimore: University Park Press.
- Bandura, A., & Walters, R. (1963). *Social learning and personality development*. New York: Holt, Rinehart and Winston.
- Bloom, L., & Lahey, M. (1978). *Language development and language disorders*. New York: John Wiley & Sons.
- Bronfenbrenner, U. (1975). Is early intervention effective? In B. Friedlander, G. Sterritt, ~ G. Kirk (Eds.), *Exceptional infant: Assessment and intervention* (Vol. 3). New York: Brunner/Mozel.
- Carey, S. (1977). The child as a word learner. In M. Halle, J. Bresnan, & G. Miller (Eds.). *Linguistic theory and psychological reality*. Cambridge, MA: MIT Press.
- deVilliers, P., & deVilliers, J. (1979). *Early language*. Washington, DC: Howard University Press.
- Erikson, E. (1963). Childhood and society. New York: W. W. Norton.
- Guralnick, M. J., & Paul-Brown, D. (1980). Functional discourse analysis of nonhandicapped preschool children's speech to handicapped children. *American Journal of Mental Deficiency*, 84, 444-454.
- Hartup, W. (1970). Peer interaction and social organization. In P.H. Mussen (Ed.), *Carmichael's manual of child psychology* (3rd ed., Vol. 2, pp. 361-456). New York: John Wiley & Sons.
- Kuhn, D., Nash, S., & Brucken, L. (1978). Sex role concepts of two and three year olds. *Child Development*, 49, 445-451.
- Laosa, L., & Brophy, J. (1972). Effects of sex and birth order on sex-role development and intelligence along kindergarten children. *Developmental Psychology*, _, 409-415.

Linksz, A. (1973). On writing, reading and dyslexia. New York: Grune and Stratton.

- Piaget, J. (1959). *The language and thought of the child*. New York: The Humanities Press.
- Sander, E. (1972). When are speech sounds learned? *Journal of Speech and Hearing Disorders*, 37, 62.
- Simeonsson, R., Cooper, D., & Scheiner, A. (1982). A review and analysis of the effectiveness of early intervention programs. *Pediatrics*.

MIDDLE CHILDHOOD YEARS

Berger, K. (1980). The developing person. New York Worth Publishers, Inc.

- Block, J. H. (1976). Issues, problems, and pitfalls in assessing sex differences. *Merrill-Palmer Quarterly*, 22, 283-308.
- Brown, A. L., & DeLoache, J. (1978). Skills, plans, and self-regulation. In R. Siegler

(Ed.). Children's thinking: What develops (pp. 123-131). New York: Erlbaum.

- Cruickshank, W. (1977). *Learning disabilities in home, school, and community*. Syracuse, NY: Syracuse University Press.
- Elkind, D. (1971). *sixteen*. Boston. Allyn & Bacon, Inc.
- Erikson, E. (1963). *Childhood and society* (rev. ed.). New York: Norton.
- Garr, S. M. (1966). Body size and its implications. In L. W. Hoffman and M. L.
- Hoffman (Eds.), *Review of child development research* (Vol. 2, pp. 529-561). New York: Russell Sage Foundation.
- Gold, M. (1980). *Did I say that: Articles and commentary on the Try Another Way system.* Champaign, IL: Research Press Co.
- Hobbs, N. (Ed.). (1975). *Issues in the classification of children* (Vol 1). San Francisco: Jossey-Bass Publishers.
- Kagan, J., Rosman, B., Day, D., Albert, J., & Phillips, W. (1964). Information processing in the child. *Psvchological Monographs*. 78. 1-37.
- Kohlberg, L. (1969). *Stages in the development of moral thought and action*. New York: Holt, Rinehart and winston.
- Lerner, R., & Korn, S. (1972). *The development of body build stereotypes in males*. Child Development, 43, 908-920.
- Maccoby, E., & Jacklin, C. (1974). *The psychology of sex differences*. Palo Alto, CA: Stanford University Press.
- *Piaget, J. (1959). *The language and thought of the child* (3rd ed.). (Marjorie and Ruth Gabain, Trans.). London: Routledge and Kegan, Paul.
- Piaget, J. (1962). *Play, dreams, and imitation in childhood*. New York: W. W. Norton & Co.
- Piaget, J. (1963). The origin of intelligence in children. New York: W. W. Norton & Co.
- Whalen, C., & Henker, B. (1976). Psychostimulants and children: A review and analysis. *Psychological Bulletin*, 83, 113-130.
- White, R. (1979). Competence as an aspect of personal growth. In M. Kent, & J. Rolf (Eds.), *Primary prevention of psychopathology: Vol. III. Social competence in children* (pp. 76-84). Hanover, NH: University Press of New England.

ADOLESCENCE

- Brown, D., McDowell, R., & Smith, J. (Eds.). (1981). *Educating Adolescents with behavior disorders*. Columbus, OH: C. E. Merrill Publishing Co.
- Butler, A. & Browning, P. (1974). Predictive studies on rehabilitation outcome with the retarded: Methodological critique. In P. Browning (Ed.), *Mental Retardation* (pp. 198-227). Springfield, IL: Charles C. Thomas.
- Clark, G. (1981). Career and vocational education. In G. Brown, R. McDowell, & J. Smith (Eds.), *Educating Adolescents with behavior disorders* (pp. 326-346).Columbus, OH: C. E. Merrill Publishing Co.
- Douvan, E., & Adelson, J. (1966). *<u>The Adolescent Experience</u>*. New York: John Wiley & Sons.
- Dunphy, D. C. (1963). The social structure of urban adolescent peer group. *Sociometry*, 26, 230-246.
- Elder, G. H. (1963). Parental power legitimatization and its effects upon the adolescent.

Sociometry, 26, 50-65.

- Elkind, D. (1974). Children and adolescents: Interpretive essays on Identity, youth, and crisis. New York: Norton
- Garrison, K. (1956). Psychology of adolescence. Cliffs, NJ: Prentice-Hall.

Ginzberg, E., Ginzberg, S., Axelrad, S., Herma, J. (1972). Toward a theory of occupational choice: A restatement. *Vocational Guidance Quarterly*, 20, 49-118.

Haan, N., Smith, B. M., & Block, J. (1968). Moral reasoning of young adults: Politicalsocial behavior, family background, and personality correlates. *Journal of Personality and Social Psychology*, 10, 183-201.

Hoffman, L., & Nye, I. (1974). Working mothers. San Francisco: Jossey-Bass.

Keniston, K. (1970). Student activism, moral development and morality. *American Journal of Orthopsychiatry*, 40, 580.

Kirk, S. (1972). *Educating exceptional children* (2nd ed.). Boston: Houghton Mifflin Co.

Kohlberg, L. (1969). *Stages in the development of mortal thought and action*. New York: Holt, Rhinehart and Winston.

Lunzer, E. A. (1968). Formal reasoning. In E. A. Lunzer, & J. F. Morris (Eds.). *Development in human learning* (pp. 132-141). New York: American Elsevier.

- McDowell, R. (1981). Adolescence. In G. Brown, R. McDowell, & J. Smith (Eds.). *Educating adolescents with behavior disorders* (pp. 141153). Columbus, OH: C. E. Merrill Publishing Co.
- McDowell, R. L., & Brown, G. B. (1978). The emotionally disturbed adolescent: Development of program alternatives in secondary education. *Focus on Exceptional Children*, (4), 1-15.

Masterson, J. F. (1967). *The psychiatric dilemma of adolescence*. Boston: Little, Brown. Mead, M. (1970). *Culture and commitment*. Garden City, NJ: Doubleday.

- Schalock, R. (1982). What do you do with them after they are educated? The need to integrate school and community-based programs. In J. Lynch, W. Kiernan, & J. Stark (Eds.), *Prevocational and vocational education for special needs youth: A blueprint for the 1980's* (pp. 161-169). London: P. H. Brookes Publishing Co.
- Shapiro, B. J., O,Brien, T. C. (1970). Logical thinking in children six through thirteen. *Child Development*, 41, 823-829.
- Shertzer, B., Stone, S. (Eds.). (1971). *Introduction to guidance*. Boston: Houghton Mifflin.
- Super, D., Hall, D. (1978). Career development: Exploration and planning. *Annual Review of Psychology*, 29, 333-372.
- Turiel, E. (1974). Conflict and transition in adolescent moral development. *Child Development*, 45, 14-29.
- Weiner, I. B. (1980). Psychopathology in adolescence. In J. Adelson (Ed.). *Handbook of adolescent psychology* (pp. 71-87). New York: John Wiley ~& Sons.

ADULTHOOD AND AGING

Bischof, L. (1976). Adult psychology. New York: Harper ~ Row Publishers.

- Botwinick, J. (1973). Aging and behavior: A comprehensive integration of research findings. New York: Springer
- Cunningham, W. R., Birren, J. E. (1976). Age changes in human abilities: A 28-year

longitudinal study. Developmental Psychology, 12, 81-82.

- Fozard, J. L., & Nuttal, R. L. (1971). GATB scores for men differing in age and socioeconomic status. *Journal of Applied Psychology*, 55, 372-379.
- Gilbert, J. G. (1973). Thirty-five-year follow-up study of intellectual functioning. *Journal of Gerontology*, 28, 68-72.
- Jarvik, L. F., & Cohen, D. A. (1973). A biobehavioral approach to intellectual changes with aging. In C. Eisdorfer, & M. P. Lawton (Eds.). *The psychology of adult development and aging* (pp. 312-319). Washington, DC: American Psychological Association.
- Kubler-Ross, E. (1969). On death and dying. New York: Macmillan Publishing Co.
- Levinson, D. (1978). The seasons of a man's life. New York: Knopf.
- Mohs, R., Davis, K., Darley, C. (1980). Cholinergic drug effects on memory and cognition in humans. In L. Poon (Ed.). *Aging in the 1980's: Psychological issues* (pp. 182-185). Washington, DCAmerican Psychological Association.
- Schwartz, P. W., Karp, S. A. (1967). Field dependence in a geriatric population. *Perceptual and Motor Skills*, 34, 495-504.
- Sheehy, G. (1976). Passages: Predictable crises of adult life. New York: Sutton.
- Toffler, A. (1970). Future shock. New York: Random House.
- Udry, R. (1971). The social context of marriage. Philadelphia: Lippincott.

DIVERSITY

Angelou, Maya. (1985) I KNOW WHY THE CAGED BIRD SINGS . NY:

Bantam Books. (about an African American girl, childhood sexual abuse) Baldwin, James. (1956) *GIOVANNI'S ROOM*. NY: Dell. (about a gay Black man) Broker, Ignatia. (1983) *NIGHT FLYING WOMAN: AN OJIBWAY*

NARRATIVE. MN Historical Society Press.

Cameron, Anne. (1987) *CHILD OF HER PEOPLE*. San Franciso: Spinsters/Aunt Lute. (Canadian Indian woman's experiences at the time of the European American invasion of her country)

Dorris, Michael (1989) *THE BROKEN CORD*. NY: Harper Row. (American Indian adoptive family, child with fetal alcohol syndrome)

- Eastman, Charles A. (1902/1971). *INDIAN BOYHOOD*. Toronto: General Publishing. (Amencan Indian boy forced into boarding school)
- Ellison, Suzanne (1988). *WORDS UNSPOKEN*. Ontario: Harlequin. (Novel, hearing impaired teen)
- Erdrich,Louise. (1984) LOVE MEDICINE. NY: Rinehart Winston. (Chippewa woman)
- Featherstone, Helen. (1980) *A DIFFERENCE IN THE FAMILY*. NY: Basic Books. (Family raising child with multiple physical and mental disabilities)
- Kaufman, Barry Nell (1976) *SON RISE*. NY: Harper Row. (son with autism, creative family responses)
- X, Malcolm with Haley, A. (1965). *THE AUTOBIOGRAPHY OF MALCOLM X.* NY: Grove Press. (African-American Muslim

leader)

- Mathabane, Mark (1990) *KAFFIR BOY IN AMERICA; AN ENCOUNTER WITH APARTHEID*. Collier. (Black South African childhood in the township)
- Naylor, Gloria. (1983) *THE WOMEN OF BREWSTER PLACE*. Middlesex, Eng.: Penguin. (African American women living adjacent in apartment building)
- PATIENCE AND SARAH, (1972) McGraw-Hill. (Lesbian couple growing up in colonial New York)
- Perkins, Charlotte Gilman. (1979) *HERLAND*. Pantheon. (Feminist Utopian novel)
- Richards, E. (1990). *RUNAWAY*. NY: Silhouette (woman attempts to find runaway sister, rumored to be a teen prostitute)
- Scott, A. (1992). WALKING AFTER MIDNIGHT. NY: Silhouette (woman who is an ex-prostitute running a kids' shelter for runaways)
- Tan, Amy. (1989). THE JOY LUCK CLUB. Putnam. (Chinese immigrant women in the U.S.)
- Walker, Alice (1976). *MERIDIAN*. NY: Pocket Books (African American woman at the time of civil rights movement)
- Weary, Dolphus (1990). *I AIN'T COMING BACK*. Wheaton, IL: Tyndale (African American southern man)

* This bibliography is single-spaced to save paper. It is not in APA format for this reason.